Twitter Thread by Moonis Shoaib



Moonis Shoaib
@Dr_MoonisShoaib



Opposition wants NRO from corruption cases: Illusion by PM Imran Khan.
Reality of Corruption cases.

Thread:
1-

Avenfield Apartment case:
Bail decision by IHC:
IHC says convictions handed in Avenfield verdict ‘may not be sustainable’.
IHC’s detailed ruling says accountability court did not state evidence regarding how Maryam assisted her father Nawaz Sharif in buying London flats- 2

NAB failed to prove corruption allegation and also refrained from challenging Sharifs’ acquittal under Section 9 of NAO-3

There has been no determination of the value of the Avenfield Apartments at the time when they were alleged to have been acquired,” reads the judgement, adding: “There is no mention of this aspect in the judgement. How NAB can make asset beyond means case then.” -4

Shahid Khaqan Abbasi LNG case bail decision.
The investigation of the LNG terminal case, in which former prime minister Shahid Khaqan Abbasi and former finance minister Miftah Ismail are implicated, is "a classic example of the violation of fundamental rights", Islamabad HC
-5

Explaining clause 2 of Article 14, the court observed: “No person shall be subjected to torture for the purposes of extracting evidence. It is implicit in the said provision that an arbitrary arrest, depending on the facts and circumstances of the case, could amount to torture.-6

Khawaja Saad/Salman Rafique Paragon Society case bail decision.
Detailed verdict assails antigraft watchdog’s conduct in dealing with Paragon housing case
• Justice Baqar says it is frequently alleged the bureau is flagrantly used for political engineering-7

Judge regrets pygmies selected, nurtured, promoted and brought to power.
NAB seems reluctant in proceeding against people on one side of the political divide," says Supreme Court verdict. -8

Ahsan Iqbal NAB case of Narowal Sports Complex bail decision.
Allegations are that Ahsan Iqbal used Federal Budget for provincial project.
IHC CJ grills NAB over arrests-9

Rana Sana Ullah Heroine case bail decision
LHC says political victimisation in country an open secret.-10

That case was registered for the reasons that petitioner was a vocal member of opposition party and was criticising the policies of the incumbent government and on that account, he was put behind the bars."The LHC also held that prosecution case was doubtful in the case.-11

Hanif Abbasi Ephedrine case bail decision.
No evidence that Hanif Abbasi was involved in Narcotics business, no evidence that he made assets by Narcotics business, No such material of narcotics presented in court.Convicted before elections in a weak politically motivated case-12

Qamar Ul Islam Saaf Pani case bail decision
Qamar Ul Islam as Chairman has no authority in giving contracts.The independent board of directors gave contracts a/c to PPRA rules to lowest bidder.Arrested before elections on baseless allegations in a politically motivated case-13

Accountability court dismisses ‘Calibri’ plea by NAB against Maryam Nawaz

Calibri font was available in 2005: UK expert Robert William Radley-14

A recap of Ishaq Dar’s documented victimisation
More than seven times the allegations against Ishaq Dar were probed by different inquiry officers and every time the recommendations remained the same- “Close the case”.-15

Investigation against Ishaq Dar started in2000 and on April 10, 2001, the then IO Shoukat Ali of FIA recommended the closure of close the case,as allegations were not substantiated. But,a fortnight later on April 24, 2001,the case was entrusted to another investigation officer-16

Abu Zar Sabtain, who too, in July the same year, recommended the closure of the case.Instead of closing the inquiry, in February 2002 a NAB deputy director while admitting that no incriminating evidence is available against Dar, yet he recommended that it would be appropriate.17

to keep the case pending in order to get Dar’s statement as approver against Sharifs.Later, in July 2002, again both the Prosecution and Operations Divisions of the NAB supported the closure of the case but the then chairman directed to “pend” the inquiry on July 27, 2002....18

On Dec 20, 2002, the case was re-opened but the then Director Monitoring again recommended the closure of the case. But on Dec 27, 2002, the then DG (IM) observed on minute sheet that the case be kept on hold till Dar makes a statement as approver in the court...19

The case remained under process at various desks till the then APGA remarked on the file on July 17, 2003: “I Mr Najam had brief the Chairman 2/3 days back. Today I placed the file before the Chairman. He desired that matter be kept pending for the time being.”..20

The case was once again processed afresh on Dec 22, 2005 and it was decided to get the details of oversees assets of Dar. In Sept 2008,all the details of all his assets, both within Pakistan and outside, were presented in a report,with the recommendation the case be closed..21

The report said that Dar not only hailed from a well-established family but as Chartered Accountant, he earned huge amount of money. “He did not incur any expenditure/investment which is disproportionate to his source of income,” ..22

The report said, adding that Dar was also working as financial adviser of Shaikh A Nahayan since 2002 for which he was earning a huge amount...23

The report and its recommendation regarding closure of case against Dar was supported by DPGA stating that cash flow chart of the period from 1985 to 1999 showed that total income of the accused was Rs60,765,785 and expenditure was Rs22, 356,837.....24

All details of persecution of Ishaq Dar from NAB is described in detail in this story of Ansar Abbasi...25
https://t.co/1xWd3ZcTnA