# Twitter Thread by Walnut Ave Value 

## Walnut Ave Value

@walnutavevalue

I was still in high school in 2000, so obviously wasn't investing.
But the more I read, the more I realize it wasn't just a using "eyeballs" for valuation problem.

## Thread below:

First up Xilinx

They were the leaders (and still are) with $\sim 40 \%$ share in FPGAs. The end market was growing. They were growing fast as shown in this chart for fiscal year 2001 ending in March 2001

Net Revenues
\$ Millions / FY 1991-2001

$91 \longrightarrow 01$

|  | \$1,659.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 1,021.0 |
| 99 | 662.0 |
| 98 | 613.6 |
| 97 | 568.1 |
| 96 | 560.8 |
| 95 | 355.1 |
| 94 | 256.4 |
| 93 | 178.0 |
| 92 | . 135.8 |
| 91 | ... 97.6 |

$33 \%$
Compound Annual Growth Rate

The CAGR was lot higher in closer to 2000 - it was growing 50\%+. Until 2001 that is. That's when revenues dropped 30\% due to market correction.

Xilinx - an innovator and leader in FPGAs - did not reach same stock price until 2018!

## REVENUES

IN MILLIONS / FY 1994 - FY 2003


Next up - Microsoft

It was growing fast, Bill Gates was talking of PC plus era where internet would enable new features

## Financial Highlights

| In millions, except earnings per share |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year Ended June 30 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Revenue | $\$ 9,050$ | $\$ 11,936$ | $\$ 15,262$ | $\$ 19,747$ |
| Net income | 2,195 | 3,454 | 4,490 | 7,785 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.43 | 0.66 | 0,84 | 1.42 |
| Cash and short-term investments | 6,940 | 8,966 | 13,927 | 17,236 |
| Total assets | 10,093 | 14,387 | 22,357 | 38,625 |
| Stockholders' equity | 6,908 | 10,777 | 16,627 | 28,438 |

But MSFT got multiple compression problem as covered in this excellent tweet from @corry wang

Stock price to dropped from the highs of late 1999 and didn't get back till 2016, despite quadrupling earnings in the next decade

## https://t.co/LE0QfsVzFL

12/ "The multiple matters just as much as the growth"

Sorry guys, I couldn't resist fitting at least one truly hot take in here... pic.twitter.com/NuT7dleRBd
— Corry Wang (@corry_wang) September 1, 2020

Sure we can see what the problem was in hindsight, but sitting there in 1999, it was hard to see how MSFT won't do great.
And they did great as a biz, but the stock didn't due to multiple compression
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Next is Cisco. The story line in 1999 was:

* They were powering the internet
* they were the tech leaders
* they had top 10 market cap in the world
* growing close to $50 \%$ per year
* John Chambers was widely praised as CEO, Don Valentine (of Sequoia) was Vice Chairman of board


## Financial Highlights ${ }^{(1)}$


NET SALES
(dollars in billlions)

NET INCOME
(dollars in billions)


DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE
(in dollars)

And yet stock price never got back to the high of 2000.


1999 high for Cisco was $\$ 67$ stock price.
P/S was ~ 19
P/E was ~ 110
(1999 annual report is so dreamy - https://t.co/SVUOCNJDoo)

Then there was the telecom bubble with terrible unit economics.

## https://t.co/oDcXW8Zzi5

this snippet from @trengriffin's article on telecom bubble shows the worst unit economics ever
pic.twitter.com/UentagboB6
— Walnut Ave Value (@walnutavevalue) December 21, 2020

Even the mighty Amazon dropped $90 \%$.
@MIcapital2 does a great job explaining how AMZN stock traded at $14 x$ NTM revenue. But growth slowed from 170\% in 1999 to $60 \%$ in 2000 along with negative cash flow, and stock dropped $\sim 90 \%$

## https://t.co/rpogYpwTwh

THREAD: I have heard about \$AMZNlu2019s stock performance during the DotCom bubble so many times, and I wasn\u2019t around professionally then, so I decided to investigate the stock and \#s during that time (not the biz strategy, a lot of great books on this). pic.twitter.com/WkFFVJmxH2
— MI Capital (@MIcapital2) December 17, 2020

Related to telecom bubble was the optical tech company of JDS Uniphase - a combination of optical potential \& roll up of several companies. Fast growth (JDS grew 50\%, Uniphase 100\%)


JDSU in 2000 grew to $\$ 1.4$ B revenue - almost $3 x$ that of 1999. Impossible to tell how much organic vs, thru acquisitions. But just look at that alphabet soup of acquired companies

| Selected Financial Data <br> (In millions, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years Ended June 30, | 2000(2)(3) | 1999(4) | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ 1,430.4 | \$ 282.8 | \$185.2 | \$113.2 | \$ 73.7 |
| Amortization of purchased intangibles | \$ 896.9 | \$ 15.7 | \$ 5.6 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 0.2 |
| Acquired in-process research and development | \$ 360.7 | \$ 210.4 | \$ 40.3 | \$ 33.3 | \$ 4.5 |
| Merger and other costs(1) | \$ | \$ 6.8 | \$ - | \$ | \$ - |
| Income (loss) from operations | \$ (865.1) | \$ (153.2) | \$(11.5) | \$(15.8) | \$ 5.8 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (904.7) | \$ (171.1) | \$(19.6) | \$(17.8) | \$ 3.2 |
| Earnings (loss) per share(5): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ (1.27) | \$ (0.54) | \$(0.07) | \$(0.07) | \$ 0.02 |
| Dilutive | \$ (1.27) | \$ (0.54) | \$(0.07) | \$(0.07) | \$ 0.01 |
| Shares used in per share calculation(5): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 710.9 | 318.2 | 283.6 | 269.5 | 204.5 |
| Dilutive | 710.9 | 318.2 | 283.6 | 269.5 | 223.3 |
| At June 30, | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working capital | \$ 1,325.7 | \$ 314.8 | \$121.4 | \$110.2 | \$132.2 |
| Total assets | \$26,389.1 | \$4,096.1 | \$332.9 | \$180.7 | \$175.7 |
| Long-term obligations . | \$ 61.2 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 5.7 | \$ 2.5 | \$ 7.1 |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$24,778.6 | \$3,619.3 | \$280.0 | \$152.0 | \$154.8 |

JDSU grew to \$100B market cap and acquired a company called SDL for \$41B in stock. Look the first pic for stock price craziness

|  | High | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal 2000 Quarter Ended: |  |  |
| June 30 | \$131.190 | \$73.130 |
| March 31 | \$153.420 | \$74.500 |
| December 31 | \$88.750 | \$28.000 |
| September 30 | \$ 30.370 | \$19.310 |
| Fiscal 1999 Quarter Ended: |  |  |
| June 30 | \$ 20.899 | \$12.813 |
| March 31 | \$ 14.391 | \$ 7.938 |
| December 31 | \$ 8.672 | \$ 4.297 |
| September 30 | \$ 7.875 | \$ 4.703 |

JDSU - There was overcapacity and overbuilding in telecom networks though. So revenues just dropped after 2001, dropping 80\% from 2001 to 2003

|  | Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2002 (1) | 2001 (2)(3) | 2000 (4) | 1999 |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ 675.9 | \$ 1,098.2 | \$ 3,232.8 | \$1,430.4 | \$ 282.8 |
| Amortization of goodwill and other intangibles | 19.8 | 1,308.7 | 5,387.0 | 896.9 | 15.7 |
| Acquired in-process research and development | 0.4 | 25.3 | 393.2 | 360.7 | 210.4 |
| Reduction of goodwill and other long-lived assets | 393.6 | 5,979.4 | 50,085.0 | - | - |
| Restructuring charges | 121.3 | 260.0 | 264.3 | - | - |
| Loss from operations | (900.7) | $(8,284.0)$ | $(56,347.4)$ | ) (865.1) | (153.2) |
| Net loss | (933.8) | $(8,738.3)$ | $(56,121.9)$ | (904.7) | (171.1) |
| Net loss per share - basic and diluted | \$ (0.66) | \$ (6.50) | \$ (51.40) | \$ (1.27) | \$ (0.54) |
|  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2002 (1) | $\underline{2001(2)(3)}$ | $\underline{2000(4)(5)}$ | 1999 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working capital | \$1,091.8 | \$1,374.8 | \$ 2,187.8 | \$ 1,325.7 | \$ 314.8 |
| Total assets | 2,137.8 | 3,004.5 | 12,245.4 | 26,389.1 | 4,096.1 |
| Long-term obligations | 16.3 | 8.9 | 18.0 | 61.2 | 9.8 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,671.1 | 2,471.4 | 10,706.5 | 24,778.6 | 3,619.3 |

And the stock round tripped and dropped some more. Terrible for people who got in at the high price for JDSU

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
AMONG JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION, THE S \& P 500 INDEX
THE NASDAQ STOCK MARKET (U.S.) INDEX
AND THE NASDAQ TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDEX


* $\$ 100$ invested on $6 / 30 / 98$ in stock or index-including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending June 30 .

|  | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{1998}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2003}$ |
| JDS Uniphase Corporation | \$100 | \$264 | \$1,528 | \$159 | \$34 | \$45 |
| S\&P 500 Index | 100 | 123 | 132 | 112 | 92 | 92 |
| Nasdaq Stock Market (U.S.) | 100 | 144 | 212 | 115 | 79 | 87 |
| Nasdaq Telecommunications Index | 100 | 164 | 184 | 78 | 27 | 41 |

JDSU is a curious case - the revenue growth was real and explosive ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 3 B$ in 3 years thru organic growth $+\mathrm{M} \& A$ ).
But what about "Quality" of revenue?
How likely was that growth to continue?
Most investors probably had no clue on telecom capacity overbuilding.

And then there's Yahoo - classic case of valuation for eyeballs, but also a phenomenal growth story before the stock crash.
@ChrisBloomstran does amazing job explaining what happened with Yahoo. Just pasting the Yahoo piece because I don't want to get caught in Tesla stock fight

Christopher Bloomstran @ChrisBloomstran . Dec 19
Pull up the long-term charts to see how these "timely" additions fared post admittance. The most prominent additions in 1999 were America Online (discussed in my 12/5 thread) and Yahoo, whose mania also reminds me of Tesla... 5/


Christopher Bloomstran @ChrisBloomstran • Dec 19
Yahoo went public in 1996, priced at $\$ 13$ per share, opened at $\$ 24.50$ and closed at $\$ 33$ on its first trading day, a market cap of $\$ 850$ million. From there the shares zoomed ahead by more than $6 x$ in 2 years reaching \$354 in January 1999. 6/

Christopher Bloomstran @ChrisBloomstran • Dec 19
The company then bought Geocities for $\$ 3.6 \mathrm{~B}$ and Broadcast for $\$ 5.7 \mathrm{~B}$ and the stock was more than cut in half, trading down to $\$ 120$.
Recovering by late 1999, the wizards at S\&P announced they would add Yahoo to the index on 11/30/1999. 7/


Christopher Bloomstran @ChrisBloomstran . Dec 19
The stock climbed $67 \%$ from $\$ 210$ on the day of the announcement to $\$ 350$ on the day of its inclusion on 12/7, one week later. Sound familiar? The rollercoaster didn't high point for another month. 8/


## Christopher Bloomstran @ChrisBloomstran • Dec 19

Yahoo peaked not quite a month later at a share price of \$475, a market cap of \$127B on January 3, 2000 (the cap didn't include another 124 million option shares, 38 M granted in 1999 alone, which implied an additional $\sim \$ 50 B$ in market cap on January 3, 2000). 9/

Yahoo grew sales by 88\% in 2000, yet stock price dropped 96\%.
Because P/S dropped from 211 to 15 !

Ending this long thread with some open-ended questions to ponder upon:

1. which of today's stocks might have too high of a multiple that could get compressed (despite continuing growth)?
2. Where is revenue "quality" low and current growth or high level of revenue may not hold?

PS: for question \#2 above, it should read as quality is lower than expectations.

PPS: Xilinx did not reach 2001 revenues again till 2006.

Shows how hard it's to predict growth rates.

Stock price went up to 2001 levels in 2018 when revenues were 50\% higher than 2001 and net income was 30\% higher.


