

Twitter Thread by thelonelytranssexual



thelonelytranssexual

@iamtranssexual



I am absolutey apalled at the abuse sent my way over the past 24 hours.

In getting a GRC I am now suddenly the enemy and all sorts of lies are doing the rounds and quite a few have made accusations then blocked me.

1/?

Ive started using womens spaces - no I haven't.

Ive forced myself into a woman only college place - no I haven't.

Ive stated that I am a fetishist - no I haven't.

The amount of misinformation about GRCs is staggering. Literally dozens telling me I didnt know what a GRC is!

2/?

I know exactly what a GRC is.

The biggest misconception is that all these tens of thousands of self ID people have GRCs and that GRC gives them access to womens spaces. That is not correct. The issue is the Equality Act. No self ID person has a GRC.

3/?

There is also confusion over the fact a GRC doesnt need surgery or hormones (and yes I disagree).

A GRC may not require surgery but it does expect it. If someone hasnt had it there must be a legitimate reason as to why not. Simply not wanting it is not enough.

4/?

This provision was intended to support those who due to underlying medical conditions would not be medically fit for surgery.

The other confusion relates to the diagnosis.

A GRC requires one of the medical reports from an approved gender specialist.

5/?

This is not an affirmative diagnosis.

If the modern ideology had been able to find a way through this system they would have done! The fact so many tell you its outdated, degrading and inhuman should tell you something.

6/?

It is not a perfect system. There are plenty of things I would change. And yes, there are some with GRCs that are questionable decisions but its certainly not a majority.

However its all we've to differentiate.

There have been about 5,500 issued in 15 years.

7/?

Thats what 300 a year ? When you consider a UK population of 60 million its really not a big number.

Controversial this may be but I dont consider GRC holders the primary problem. The GRC has been in place for 16 years. Before that other similar processes existed.

8/?

Yet there was seldom an issue. Why?

It was only until 2010 and the EA that a conflation between a vastly larger number of transvestites and transsexuals was introduced.

If focus is to be given to addressing one area of law it should be EA not GRA.

9/?

The danger is that by concentrating on the sub 6,000 GRC holders we dismiss the in excess of 600,000 (some say 1,000,000) non GRC "trans" people.

It is not the GRC that allows self ID access to womens spaces.

10/?

When self ID was defeated last year this was about GRA reform to allow anyone to legally change the sex on their birth certificate. It did not address the access to womens spaces as per the EA. Thats another battle.

11/11