## **Twitter Thread by Wokal Distance**

## **Wokal Distance**

@wokal\_distance



1/

One of the most common tricks used by woke Social Justice activists to defend their view is to de-legitimize criticism by attacking the motives of the person who is opposing them. The goal is to undercut the moral authority and social standing of anyone who disagrees with them

2/

If you criticize the views of woke Social justice Activists they'll attack your motivations and imply that you're not being honest about your true motives. The goal is to make the audience suspicious of your intentions so they won't trust you or believe anything you say.

3/

By attacking your motives the woke activists can both discredit you while getting the audience to raise their level of skepticism toward you. This tactic is sometime referred to as "poisoning the well."

It is a dirty tactic, but it is effective.

4/

Here <u>@BrandonLBradfor</u> attempts to smear Conservative Black thinkers by claiming the reason the Right listens to conservative blacks is to assuage their own insecurity. The implication is people don't really care about the ideas of conservative blacks, they just use them...



Centrist, Libertarians, and the politically Right flock to Conservative Black Intellectuals because they parrot the same narratives and assure them that their education, understanding, and actions in society have no gaping comprehension voids. A safety pillow magical negro.

12:34 PM · Dec 29, 2020 · Twitter Web App

3 Retweets 3 Quote Tweets 17 Likes

5/

This implies that the ideas of conservative blacks are not worth listening too, and that even if conservative blacks had good ideas, nobody would care any way. This is the sort of pure cynicism that typifies Wokeness.

6/

Here Joshua Harrington-Sealy uses critical race theory to say that certain lawyers objections to a Statement Of Principles (SOP) was a show, and that he can use Critical Race Theory to explain that is was \*REALLY\* about regressive politics, not principles.



## **Joshua Sealy-Harrington** @JoshuaSealy · Mar 24, 2020

000

2) Critical Analysis:

Next, I adopt a critical race theory lens to analyze what is \*really\* going on behind the scenes of the SOP theatre. I explain how, in context, "free speech" was a smokescreen for anti-SOP advocates' regressive politics. I say this for three reasons.

 $\bigcirc$ 

1

[] 1

 $^{\circ}$ 

,Λ,

7/

Note that Mr. Sealy implies that the reasons people claimed to be agains the SOP are just a show, and he needs to pull back the curtain and tell you what everyone's \*REAL\* motives are.

Again, pure cynicism.

Here @kkdumez implies someone negatively reviewed her book in order to trivialize her scholarship because she's a woman. All of the reviewers objections to her book are just layers of nonsense that need to be cut through so you can see the real motivating force: sexism.



000

There are so many things going on in that CT review, but @AntheaButler cuts through the layers here with a reminder that underneath it all is the trivialization of my scholarship. Which is unfortunate. As a woman in these spaces, I can't help but wonder how gender factors in.



ProfB @AntheaButler · Oct 27, 2020

And yet, this is exactly what you all do not do. Thread. twitter.com/CTmagazine/sta...

Show this thread

9/

Woke activists do not want to win by defeating you intellectually, they want to win by tearing you down socially.

The goal is to destroy your credibility and make it look like you're hiding something and not being upfront with the audience.

It's a disgusting tactic.

10/

Do not try to diffuse this tactic by insisting that you're honest. That turns the conversation from an intellectual discussion about facts and ideas into a referendum on your character. That's what woke activists want, don't fall into that trap. There's a better solution...

11/

The right move is to make it obvious they're using a smear tactic. Simply saying "you're not using arguments you're just attacking my motives" is much more effective then trying to defend yourself because it makes the smear tactic obvious so people don't get taken in by it.

12/

If you make it painfully obvious that the other person is using a smear tactic, refuse to react with anger or insults and direct the conversation back to the arguments and the facts, you can diffuse the smear while increasing your credibility with the

audience.

13/

Here is an excellent explanation of the principle explained perfectly by Jordan Peterson. He explains exactly how to respond to attacks from people. This is really excellent.



14/

Because woke activists are very concerned with social power you can expect them to try to win debates or have their ideas win the day by using social power to enforce their view. That's not how we want to go about things. I've messed up in this area and had to apologize...

15/

So I do not claim to be perfect, and I am still learning how to do this better. however, the times I've been most effective are when I don't let unfair tactics fluster me and I simply call them out, make them obvious, and then get back to the point of the discussion.

/fin

PS/

I do my best to behave well and argue carefully.

Sometimes I fail.

Sometimes I get cynical.

Sometimes I lose my temper.

But I do my best to have that happen less, and I apologize when I mess up. So please forgive me when I fail and keep me humble when I succeed.

Thank you