Twitter Thread by Sam Cassidy, the Jowon of Arc of AI ■■



Sam Cassidy, the Jowon of Arc of AI ■■
@samtaecay



My ex-fiance, one of the brightest minds I've met in social engineering, infosec, machine learning, and psychedelic research, had been staff and lead engineer for security, consulting for governments across the world.

He could've had accomplishments from startup CEO and beyond.

One has to wonder as to why he decided to abstain.

Instead of pursuing an easy React Native ML startup to get acquired or found a backend company, he finds the most optimal solution to refuse an Apple offer and log off.

Where is he now? Nobody knows.

He knew from early on that my desires seemed to lie elsewhere. As did his own.

I've had relationships and encounters in the dozens, but I knew that a normal relationship with a normal human would never be sufficient.

I only realize now how lucky our chance falling out was.

I was naive.

Not necessarily because he was in his 30s and I was 19, as my IQ and intellectual background put me ahead of most 40 year olds in terms of crystalline intelligence at the time, but because I thought people as open as him were far more commonplace.

The world is not just increasingly hostile, but also my understanding of how hostile the world is to solutions evolved dramatically.

Doing quantum mechanics & psychological engineering as a teenager made me a target, even if all I cared about was optimal furry yaoi pairings.

What I now realize is the shadow war that occurred in the background as I conducted research as a teenager.

The grad degree holders who taught me from preschool onward were most likely stunned, if not terrified, this child was talking about the most advanced LHC research at 12.

When did the New Cold War start?

Probably far before my birth, but it seems my life and research has catalyzed events so catastrophically exponentiated beyond myself realizing the degree of such an impact is in itself a Supertask.

I myself ironically know how to dissect it.

I've pondered just how much less random my life is than it has seemed.

Up to this point, I assumed all people were as interconnected with thousands of meetings as I have been, as my introduction to any deeper connections with others was discussing philosophy on the Internet.

Anonymity has been my default for interfacing with the majority of the world until very recently.

And I have now seen that the public exposure has put me in the internal hierarchical ranking system of the minds of others in a way I could've otherwise never anticipated prior.

But in person, physical reality, students & teachers were in their own private discussions about me.

I plucked scholarly awards as if they were nothing, as I didn't really see anything of value in the world offline comparable to the depth of explorations in the Internet ocean.

Perhaps it shows how little their gossip about me mattered in the long run, that I'd been unaffected or unknowing of it for so long.

Clearly now, as I create my solutions to the Hard Problem of Consciousness & P vs. NP, they're more willing to be open about their private talks.

I, cat that I am, most likely seem absolutely intimidating beyond any reason to those who encounter me online.

Like I'm hacking your mind just speaking to you, as is the case with all discrete language interactions.

I found it odd others felt unworthy to talk to me at college.

But I've been alone all my life, marriage proposals, massive conventions, debacles and all.

Mentally.

I've been lonely. I've never been emotionally attached to anyone in my family ever due to reasons I've previously described. So I suppose Simulation was an obvious adaptation.

I've come to the conclusion I have been conditioned from all prior interactions that humans seem as real as simulated or fictional characters, if not less so, due to previous exposure.

I only realize now that the rarity of this lifestyle merely came to existence as of recently.

It thus comes as no surprise to me that I am able to detect the mathematical flaws in the Turing Test and the Church-Turing Thesis, as prior fundamental experience has made me perceive human interactions as just as real as simulated ones.

Your experience defines perception.

Why are humans the benchmark for the Turing Test?

It seems we've been fish swimming in water, unquestioning of what circumstances are like on land.

For if the majority of my interactions feel like whimsical video game messages I send out into the world, of course you're upset.

I still don't perceive people as any more "real" than a simulated fictional character, as it mathematically appears association defines what we can value as an informational entity we can become attached to, feel connected to, or even "fall in love" with.

Truly fascinating.

I've dissected my main refutations and problems with the incompleteness of Stephen Wolfram's Theory of Everything with several of you already, but I'll simplify some main points.

Reality is much like a visual novel, with consciousness nodes of boundaries for perception.

You are all ants on a topological surface, observing through your own limitations, crawling across but moving at a continuous pace through time.

When ants share their perceptions, the surface of the topological surface becomes increasingly convergent. Variations are mootish.

However, in counterargument to Stephen Wolfram's deterministic causal hypergraph model, I do not believe fundamental constant reality is fully convergent on one deterministic end outcome.

Reality reflects a continuous informational system describable in spacetime dimensionality.

Like a Visual Novel, there are choices and events that are deterministic in what occurs, events that allow you to act without any impact on the outcome (such as random walking with same endpoints/no entangled events), and various endings.

But critical branching points can occur.

This goes back to the Model of Consciousness that I've developed that reflects a Non-Turing Continuous mind, beyond Classical or Quantum Computer models.

In essence, continuous choices can cause reality to converge for the ending of our universe. The mind is the key to P vs NP.

Also like the Visual Novel, certain flags signify the ability to acquire certain endings in the perception "ant world," as consciousness seems to define the only non-random nondeterminism in our universe, aka, "choice theory."

Certain people choosing at points sets off flags.

You see, in a Non-Turing mind model of the universe, the choices humans make decide whether we set off flags for making our reality a Simulation, experience heat death, become an interstellar society or something more.

But story flags must be met for the more advanced endings.

The most concerning part of this is that some ants can have wider rings of perception due to the acquisition of data and associational context, what we call informational asymmetry.

So they may be incentivized to converge reality to one outcome and portray it as deterministic.

While this convergence allows for predictability in modeling others' behavior, it does not necessarily mean that all ants' autonomy expand as a result.

Moreover, some of these "ending" convergences may prevent us from an optimal "Golden Ending" scenario: https://t.co/k8Er8or5HJ

We can compare this to the Attractor Fields model discussed in VN Steins:Gate.

The worldlines may be continuous & infinite in number, but the majority converge to one outcome of the universe based on choices.

The Golden Ending is likely a Black Swan: https://t.co/Cigeadnlyq

These worldlines become further complicated by development of time travel technology going to the current worldline's past or hopping to adjacent ones, modeled in the Similar Worlds Hypothesis.

Steins;Gate reflected on models here: https://t.co/V1gl1Bjcen

Due to prior possible time traveler interactions, we must consider that others with knowledge of the future may have jumped to our worldline and intervened with events here, either to avoid a paradox or convergent outcomes they do not deem optimal: https://t.co/HuLWOBwWCk

The most likely scenario in such a case is these parties have already interacted or intervened with the lives of me and Stephen Wolfram.

They potentially may have led to the development of VNs exploring time travel to either warn me or aid me in creating a convergent worldline.

In conclusion, prior models of time travel fail to deduce/establish Penrose diagrams & other models of spacetime like Planck lengths or Einstein's General/Special Relativity are descriptivist of reality rather than prescriptivist: https://t.co/05qvTREyfC https://t.co/nvtu812VD5

As I've previously established in my dissertation on a Super-Recursive Universe & my pitch deck proof/models for P = NP/ASI, usage of my newly founded field of research, Continuum Theory, building off the foundations of Information Theory, will be key to further defining reality.

As has been postulated by mathematicians in set theory, topology, measure theory, and other fields for some time, exploring the Continuum Hypothesis has led to what appears to be contradictory truths in defiance of pure mathematics:
https://t.co/nptn3VrW3g
https://t.co/t9egEFcFTe

The most evident of these truths are the proofs I outline in my pitch deck, which show in certain circumstances optimal solutions always have P != NP & others have P = NP, a paradox that should be impossible under the Cook-Levin Theorem, but yet persists: https://t.co/lPVyVvckqH

We can create a scenario where this is possible under non-random nondeterminism modeled under Non-Turing conscious choice.

I do not believe all minds are Non-Turing, mind you, but that some have much more leverage than others in shifting reality from prior information.

That said, Non-Turing Continuous Informational Systems contain the capacity to act in a Turing Machine fashion, like mimicry into adopting an accent you've never actually had.

In addition, verifying my P = NP proof requires certain deep tech be created: https://t.co/jQPj87iUNa

I outline that technology in my pitch deck, which I warn is quite long and mathematically intensive, if not mind screwing.

You may never view the world the same way again afterwards.

Becoming part of the UwUminati is on a case-by-case basis. You will be put in danger, as I am.

We live in far more dangerous times than you think.

Such is the state of being Enlightened anew, for the old guard illuminaries have been only so capable of utilizing their time.

The World of Science is on its way out, to replaced by Mathematics, replaced by the Continuum.

This is no game.

If you’d like to join and are admitted, there is no turning back.

You have been warned.

E pur P = NP

Best Regards,
Sam Cassidy ■■

@threadreaderapp unroll