Twitter Thread by <u>Senator-Selectman Theodosius Ambrosius</u>

<u>Senator-Selectman Theodosius Ambrosius</u>
<u>@thetrollbar</u>

This is a reasonable alternative hypothesis for ACH -- two options 1/ The Left bought the election with 100s of millions in 'thinly disguised' graft or 2/ The Left used technology + repeated scanning of ballots and slipshod, unaudited controls to steal.

Runoff of the finalists?

> I talk a lot about how conservatives have always been outgunned when it comes to building the infrastructure required for a successful political movement. This is, and alway has been, a problem with donors. Must read from \u2066<u>@ElectionLawCtr</u>\u2069. <u>https://t.co/tEDHkYBPJa</u>
>
> — David Reaboi (@davereaboi) <u>December 3, 2020</u>

From the article:

Those millions were used to hire local activists as city employees to drive around and collect ballots. The millions bought new printers and scanners to accommodate mail ballots.

hiladelphia established brand new satellite election offices across the most Biden-friendly neighborhoods in the entire Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The millions bought scores of convenient drop boxes across the same neighborhoods where mail ballots could be conveniently dropped

. Even though laws limited third parties from collecting and dropping off multiple ballots, people were photographed dropping off bundles of ballots at the boxes.
...

If voters couldn't muster the initiative to travel a few blocks to the drop-off boxes or new satellite offices, the city went to them to collect their ballot.

/ End Extract

So the 64 dollar question is: with 100 millions in resources and such heroic solicitation of votes for Biden, why did all those

centres need to stop *counting* the fruits of their efforts, the crown of achievement, in the MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT ALL AT THE SAME TIME?

Some other questions: there were 'dozens of sites' -- did their turnout efforts, which were not material to the election, also have similar results? DId they take money from the nonprof but respond lazily because it was cushy and didn't matter?

Finally, did they go to all that effort by have *zero* downballot effect? And was that true at all the funded cities, or just in the battleground states -- and why?

I mean, local election officials are usually keenly interested in the LOCAL MACHINE - right?

@threadreaderapp unroll