

Twitter Thread by SteelSlatBarrier

SteelSlatBarrier

@BarrierSlat



**@GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes How many factual lies can you pack into one tweet>
The Trump team had 4 cases in Pennsylvania alone.**

In most cases Trump's own lawyers disclaimed any fraud in their own court cases.

Trump's handpicked judges excoriated him worse than any others. /1

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes First: Trump sued over Philly counting 5 categories of mail in ballots.

They appealed all the way to the PA Supreme Court.

They lost. <https://t.co/bQprvfqDvk> /2

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes 2nd: Trump sued Bucks County for counting ballots they thought were deficient.

They appealed that decision and lost. And the PA SC denied the appeal without comment.

<https://t.co/YZVGpOxZCV> /3

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes 3rd, Trump sued Montgomery County to stop them from counting mail-in ballots.

Trump didn't dispute the facts at all. ****STIPULATED**** to common facts.

<https://t.co/wqV1aPGNMC>

Then they abandoned the appeal. /4

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes 4th, Trump famously sued in Federal Court challenging the results of the election. And, as luck would have it, they were assigned a conservative Judge who was a member of the Federalist Society.

Rudy, however, when faced with the prospect of lying to a Federal Judge . . . /5

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes Rudy hung his head and admitted that "this isn't a fraud case." So they abandoned the fraud issue at oral argument.

The Judge ripped Trump a new one in his opinion.

Read it for yourself <https://t.co/hT2PGhnNna>

/6

everywhere in between. In other words, Plaintiffs ask this Court to disenfranchise almost seven million voters. This Court has been unable to find any case in which a plaintiff has sought such a drastic remedy in the contest of an election, in terms of the sheer volume of votes asked to be invalidated. One might expect that when seeking such a startling outcome, a plaintiff would come formidably armed with compelling legal arguments and factual proof of rampant corruption, such that this Court would have no option but to regrettably grant the proposed injunctive relief despite the impact it would have on such a large group of citizens.

That has not happened. Instead, this Court has been presented with strained legal arguments without merit and speculative accusations, unpled in the operative complaint and unsupported by evidence. In the United States of America, this cannot justify the disenfranchisement of a single voter, let alone all the voters of its sixth most populated state. Our people, laws, and institutions demand more. At bottom, Plaintiffs have failed to meet their burden to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Therefore, I grant Defendants' motions and dismiss Plaintiffs' action with prejudice.

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes Gluttons for punishment, Trump appealed to the 3rd Circuit. Amazingly, the were assigned a panel with a judge Trump Himself appointed to the bench.

Judge Bibas humiliated Team Trump even worse.

<https://t.co/NUlpYrhQif>

BIBAS, *Circuit Judge*.

Free, fair elections are the lifeblood of our democracy. Charges of unfairness are serious. But calling an election unfair does not make it so. Charges require specific allegations and then proof. We have neither here.

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes So that's 4 Trump cases in PA alone. All losers. None of which presented any evidence of fraud the courts would find persuasive.

That's one State. Should I do the rest? Because it will be more of the same. Time and time again.

He lost. Bigly. /end

@JoeGebhardt2 @GlennGattis1 @toddstarnes @threadreaderapp unroll