

Twitter Thread by Jeff Carlson

Jeff Carlson

@themarketwork



This issue was repeatedly highlighted by Judge Totenberg:

Dominion's system "does not produce a voter-verifiable paper ballot or a paper ballot marked with the voter's choices in a format readable by the voter because the votes are tabulated solely from the unreadable QR code."

Witness explaining that on electronic ballots (QR code ballots), it's impossible to determine voter intent. The machine decides the intent, whereas, with paper ballots, a human can double-check the ballot. <https://t.co/kkhamio2Je>

— The Election Wizard (@Wizard_Predicts) December 30, 2020

Judge also found that Dominion's QR codes are NOT encrypted:

"Evidence plainly contradicts any contention that the QR codes or digital signatures are encrypted,"

This was "ultimately conceded by Mr. Cobb and expressly acknowledged later by Dr. Coomer during his testimony."

Judge Totenberg said there was "demonstrable evidence" that the implementation of Dominion's systems by Georgia placed voters at an "imminent risk of deprivation of their fundamental right to cast an effective vote," which she defined as a "vote that is accurately counted."

Judge Totenberg found that Dominion Systems inherently could not be audited.

She noted that auditors are severely limited and "can only determine whether the BMD printout was tabulated accurately, not whether the election outcome is correct."

Totenberg stated in her ruling that a BMD printout "is not trustworthy" and the application of an Risk-Limiting audit (RLA) to an election that used BMD printouts "does not yield a true risk-limiting audit."

Georgia used RLAs to claim no fraud...

All of this is covered here.

Of note, [@GaSecofState](#) knows each of these details.

And Ryan Germany, general counsel for Georgia's secretary of state's office, was a participant in at least one the major court hearings discussing some of these issues.

<https://t.co/BAo0zkY1EP>

As someone who's spent an extensive amount of time going through these legal rulings, it's particularly infuriating to listen to off-handed dismissals of possible election fraud from those that have not done the same.

Thankfully, someone else is also finally talking about Ben Adida's VotingWorks.

Adidia claimed his company's ARLO division could accurately perform a Risk-Limiting audit (used by GA).

That contention was absolutely destroyed by Judge Totenberg.

Note that VotingWorks (and its ARLO division) has been tied into CISA - and it's former "head" Chris Krebs for some time...

<https://t.co/jqdo95zBY0>