Twitter Thread by Catastrophic Gohan



Catastrophic Gohan
@TheGohan98

Dumb£dk@r wasn't like Pe£riyar?

He was an Anti-Hindu m0r0n just like Periy@r.

> A wonderful piece from the Quora space "Tamil Right-Wingers"https://t.co/qokR0GQw4A
>
> — Soumili Das (\u0938\u094c\u092e\u093f\u0932\u0940 \u0926\u093e\u0938) (\u09b8\u09cc\u09ae\u09bf\u09b2\u09c0 \u09a6\u09be\u09b8) (@Soumili_Squeaks) February 2, 2021

Yes it's true that he criticised K2@s but that doesn't make him any useful for Hindus.

His writings will remind you a lot of JNU Urban Naxals like Kanhaiya.

"Ancient Hindus had no sexual morals, brother cohabited with sister, son with mother, father with daughter... Men freely shared women & none had exclusive right over her... Prostitution flourished in the worst form...bestiality prevailed, done even by Rishis."
Riddles in Hinduism

This is what Shri Ram said in Kishkindha-Kanda of Valmiki Ramayana regarding such immoral relationships.

But how could Neela Katt@ppa not realize this?

Because he severely lacking analytical capabilities of Hindu texts.

"Hindu Gods were miserable cowards who had to hide behind their wives to save themselves from Asuras. How could these cowards give power to Shakti? Did the Brahmins invent the practice of worshipping of Goddesses to put a new commodity in the market?"

Riddles in Hinduism.

Pay attention to his language.

He considered Gods and Goddesses as a 'commodity.'
So much for feminism.

What was this alleged FeMiNi$t's problem if Hindu Goddesses were powerful?

What nonsense! Indradeva r@ped Ahalya?

Is having intercourse with consent of the female termed r@pe?

The moron never read Valmiki Ramayana otherwise the idiot won't have written such $h!t.

He doesn't stop here.

He had a whole book to rant againt Hindu texts and Hindu Gods after all.

Just imagine the type of toxic thinking he had.

Dumbedkar the b@$t#rd said Shri Rama's birth was "disreputable", and called Rama an illegitimate child of sage Shrung.

This was tweeted by @BharadwajSpeaks previously in 2019.

His book- Annih!lation of C@ste.

'Reforms' by Neel@ Katt@ppa.

Apparently, he wanted to penalize even discussion of Hindu texts in public and end to the sacred status of Vedas and other Hindu sacred texts by law.

Abolishment of Preisthood among Hindus.

Selection by the State? We know how that would've turned out.

His other 'golden' ideas would've made Hindu preists a sl@ve of the secular state.

He was so proud of his k!n for helping the British to conquer India & begged his Br!tish masters to fulfill their responsibility towards them.

Freedom Struggle had been carried out by only Upper Caste Hindus (they were the majority who fought for freedom) only not LCs according to him.

His views on Freedom movement and the major classes fighting for freedom i.e. Brahmins and Vaishyas are-

It's interesting how he compared Brahmins & Vaishyas to Nazis.

Sounds like something JNU m0r0ns, Commies & Tukde-Tukde gang usually say. (Because they copy his ideas!)

Certainly he wasn't a freedom fighter or advocate of freedom movement.

He was as pro-Hindu as Kanhaiya Kumar.■

How is our spineless R$$-Bhajip@0 glorifying him if he abused Shri Ram, wanted end of Hinduism & Hindu texts by all means?

2 words- Vote bank.