

Twitter Thread by Goldstein



Goldstein

[@MrGoldstein7](#)



Back in the 1880s conservatives were those people who wished to conserve the status quo. Hence the name. They were quite satisfied with the way things were set up, and why should they not be? They were rich and connected and had it made.

Liberals were those who were not satisfied by the way things were, and so they were pushing for reforms. In general, they wished to see more fairness in government policies.

They wanted those not “of the manor born” to be given a fair shot at good employment, good wages, court access, and all the various fruits of society.

The founding fathers have even been sold as liberal in this sense, since although they were rich guys, fully connected, we are supposed to believe they believed in democracy, republicanism, and fairness in general.

That is why they go on and on about that in the Declaration of Independence and Constitution. Those are liberal documents, in that they deny not only the divine rights of kings, they deny any other sort of favoritism based on name or birth.

As it turns out, the founding fathers were not liberal at all. They were fascists, and the whole liberal stance was just another conjob.

The United States were never meant to be republic, much less a democracy, they were meant to be another outpost of the Phoenician Navy. Meaning, another occupied and conquered territory, with the citizens only fooled into thinking they were empowered or progressive in any way.

But the point is, the citizens needed to be fooled into thinking their government was liberal, because most of them were not rich or connected. They weren't conservative, because they had come to the US to find something better.

If they'd been conservative they would have stayed home in England, Germany, or wherever, and continued to salute the King or sing God Save the Queen. They came to the US because they were progressive: they were seeking progress, not the same old oppression by the ruling families

So, in hoodwinking these people, the governors had to speak their language. The governors had to convince the citizens that they stood for progress, fairness, and liberalism by any other name.

This was going to be a land of equal opportunity, equal access, equal consideration, and equal rights. That is, liberal, not conservative. Conservative implies unequal rights and unequal access. Preference for the rich and the old bloodlines.

Then where would Modern liberals fit into that? What if we transported these icky people who are always pushing mixed bathrooms on us back to the 1880s. Where would they fit into the mix?" NOWHERE.

No one, conservative or liberal, would have ever thought to think of such a thing back then, much less promote it. So let's ask the opposite question: what if we transported one of the liberals of 1880 into the present time.

What would they think about politics now? They would think exactly what you and I think of it: they would be disgusted. They would not believe society had fallen so far.

They would not be in favor of any of the things now being promoted by the so-called left. Do you know why? Because the things now being promoted, which most sensible people detest, are not being promoted by the left or by liberals.

They are being promoted by CIA agents and cloaked fascists posing as liberals, in order to blackwash liberalism.

That's right, trannies, mixed bathrooms, transitioning children, and all the other things now promoted as the result of an out-of-control left have nothing to do with liberalism or the left. They aren't being promoted by real leftists.

They are being promoted by agents of your own government, and your own government is not liberal. Neither is your media. The media and government in the US are fascist and therefore far right.

They are owned and run by the very wealthiest families, and those families are interested in one thing: maintaining their hegemony at any cost. Maintaining hegemony is conservative.

It is not liberal. The rich families that run this country are not liberal. Do you really think the Rockefeller's are liberal? The Rothschilds? The Gates, Bushes, Kennedys, Vanderbilts, Oppenheimers, Cohens, Hoffmans, Astors, Schiffs, Warburgs, Bacons, etc. No, they are not

They are not for progress or fairness. They are for maintaining their obscene levels of wealth, and for continuing the current schemes which allow them to steal from you with impunity. They wish to keep you down, which is not liberalism.

These people like to control both sides of every argument, and they figured out a long time ago the best way to defeat an enemy was to infiltrate him and flip him. So for many decades they have had a prominent project to blackwash the left.

These people infiltrated the hippie movement of the 60s, which was anti-war. Antiwar is a progressive stance, therefore left, so the fascist government considered these people enemies of the State.

The State wants constant war, because it is profitable. They hired actors to pretend to be hippies, then had them do horrific things. This successfully blackwashed the anti-war movement, and gave the US government five more years in Vietnam, to continue to rob the treasury.

More recently (past 40 years), they have hired hundreds of actors and media “personalities” to infiltrate TV, film, and radio, selling you the idea that the media is liberal and that liberalism is to blame for all your problems.

All kinds of schemes and projects over the decades were dreamed up and promoted by government or academic stooges, and often publicized by Hollywood stooges.

A little digging shows these government, academic, and Hollywood stooges were paid by various NGOs, Foundations, think tanks, and government agencies, and that NONE of them were actually liberal.

Most pretended to be liberal, but none were. They were underwritten by Rockefellers or Fords or Gates or Carnegies or Hughes or Soros or Kochs, and none of those people or Foundations are liberal. They are fascist.

They are owned and run by extremely rich people who have no interest in fairness, progress, or liberalism by any other name.

They have done exactly the same thing with the environmental movement, which they hijacked at about the same time. In 1970, Maurice Strong—one of Al Gore's mentors—and others stole the green movement from real greens, when they started the fake Earth Day

Ever since then, the green movement you have read about in the mainstream press has been this fake green movement backed by big-money fascists. These people care nothing about the environment, they only care about making obscene amounts of money by raping it.

But they had to undermine the real environmentalists, who were in their way. How? By pretending to be environmentalists and then doing outrageously stupid things. Normal people would watch this tragedy unfolding and think greens were either brain-damaged or mental.

When these cloaked billionaires weren't making you think greens were mental, they were using the fake green movement to raise taxes, steal land, and pass more pointless laws— limiting your freedoms while unlimiting their own.

Was any of this liberal or progressive? No. It was fascist, as usual. It was top-down control for the benefit of those at the top. It did nothing for the environment while continuing to crush you.

You won't make any progress as long as you don't even know what “liberal” means. As long as they can keep you in the wrong pen, you won't ever figure out who you are what you should be doing.

If you are dispossessed but think you are conservative, because the media told you, you will never figure out how to do yourself any good in this world. Everything will backfire, because you will misunderstand your position. You will mistake your friends for your enemies, v.v.

The governors want you thinking of yourself as a conservative, because if you do you are automatically an ally of the Rockefellers, Fords, Bushes, and even Clintons.

Yes, remember that Clinton sold himself as a conservative democrat, not as a liberal. That's how he won the 1992 election: he appealed to conservative democrats, who had previously voted for Reagan and Bush.

And if you call yourself a conservative, you will be suspicious of any real liberals, who come along and tell you you are mad to do so.

The ruling class is conservative, and the important thing is not whether you are for or against coed bathrooms: it is whether you are for or against the current ruling class. If they are conservative, you must be liberal, by definition. Whatever they are, you must be the opposite.

Do not let yourself be defined by these manufactured issues. They seem important, but they are usually fake. Do you have any real experience with trans people, for example? I don't. But we all have real experience with an upper class bleeding us constantly.

Squashing us, taxing us for things they aren't delivering, paying us a pittance for our work, constantly stealing from the treasury, and lying to us everyday about almost everything.

You have been flipped and thereby disempowered by a government project.

[@threadreaderapp](#) unroll