

Twitter Thread by Buster ■■■■■■■■■■■■

Buster ■■■■■■■■■■■■

[@BusterBDSM](#)



Ok so lets talk blood. Obviously this is an important step forward, however the decisions and recommendations are still seeped in deep institutional homophobia.

A

Context: The ban on gay men giving blood was brought in in 1980 in the face of the AIDS epidemic, where little was known about HIV and testing was patchy at best. This is understandable- however what should have been a temporary measure has remained in status quo for 4 decades 2/

The 'deferral period' of 3 months, brought in in 2011, allowed a straight woman to have unprotected sex with an HIV positive man and give blood the next day while gay men had to remain abstinent for 3 months regardless of the riskiness of their sex. 3/

The obvious problem was that assumptions were being made about the risk of gay men giving blood that showed an ignorance and lack of understanding on the part of policymakers which was rightly criticised and lobbied against 4/

Over the years, LGBT+ lobbying has largely used the image of beautiful white middle class gay men in a long term committed relationship to sell the idea of equality to conservative Britain - 'We're just like you!' we shout as we show people our fascia of respectability. 5/

The problem here is: we're not just like them. Our lobbyists are like them. Our community is driven by white middle class men. Obviously notable exceptions get raised (the indomitable Baroness [@ruth_hunt](#) stands out) but largely we present as male and pale AND WE'RE NOT 6/

Our community is a vibrant, multicultural, richly identifying place for people of all sexual identities: queer, polyamoury, BDSM, open relationships, monogamy - a place of sexual liberation made even more so by the introduction of PrEP (thank [@Greg0wen](#) for that!). 7/

Our community also has a dark undercurrent where issues of societal rejection, familial dispute, the status quo of 'emigrating' to big cities, shame, loneliness, chemsex and addiction all mix as people try to live as themselves as authentically as they can 8/

And here we have the meat of the issue. Once again, decision making is made with married, monogamous relationships in mind. I'm in a long term relationship. And a long term BDSM D/s situation. And I play around, as do my partner and my sub. Where do we fit? 9/

Poly people who are in multiple stable and committed relationships - where do they fit? And what about bisexual people who are in stable same sex relationships who may also play casually (or less casually) with opposite sex partners? 10/

Looks like the thread has been broken by removing 1 point. Link to point 12: <https://t.co/zcQPAjADHj>

Our sexual liberation has been whitewashed. Our liberation from AIDS through the free dissemination of PrEP has been whitewashed. The acceptance of a more diverse and liberated generation of LGBT+ people has been hidden from sight in the name of 'progress' 12/

— Buster \U0001f3f3\ufe0fu200d\U0001f308\U0001f3f3\ufe0fu200d\u26a7\ufe0fu270a\U0001f3fd (@BusterBDSM)
December 14, 2020