

Twitter Thread by Fred Langlois

Fred Langlois

@Fred_Langlois



Hello comrades and friends and friends of comrades!

You might have seen posts or hashtags about Canadian Shield relating to a pandemic response plan

It's not like our federal, provincial, and municipal governments have been doing a great job so I decided to investigate

THREAD

So Canadian Shield is a report sponsored by Global Canada which appears to be a corporate/business minded think tank

You can read the report here:

<https://t.co/Pdh8NfePU7>

A few influencing figures on this site have been promoting this so my first question was who wrote that report?

What expertise does that group collectively have?

Among the authors we have:

Chamber of commerce guy

Bell Canada corporate services guy

Economics prof

CEO FN health NGO

Yukon Minister of economic development

Businessman/consultant

PolSci associate professor

Scotiabank economist

Hobbyist biostatistician

QueensU NGO businessman

Epidemiology grad student

Infection model math expert

MD and public health expert

Emergency physician

Public health professor

Physician infectious disease specialist

Assistant prof public health

Now it's reassuring to see public health, infectiology, clinical expertise

Phew!

You'll notice I lumped the hobbyist biostatistician with the business people. First he blocked me when I asked why he wouldn't immunize healthcare workers first

<https://t.co/ryXh1oJbdo>

Rule #1 in any rescue/care situation is to not endanger the rescuer/caregiver because otherwise that's two people who need rescuing/care

If they get sick the healthcare system collapses

Hence why they should be prioritized for vaccination

— Fred Langlois (@Fred_Langlois_) January 3, 2021

Second: he has recently lashed at people from oppressed communities

Third: not my favorite thing to pull a credentialist argument, but maybe he should listen to more qualified non dude and BIPOC that have medical or scientific expertise

Moving on..

So we have here a mixed group where the business people outnumber experts

The report itself focuses on a stronger lockdown, as well as increased testing, contact tracing, monitoring capacity until the COVID-19 vaccines have been fully deployed

Sounds good, right?

But see here the recommendations are based on short-run economic impacts vs. social impact + effect on virus transmission

Several recent studies provide insight on which measure are most effective in managing this trade-off (11, 12), including a recent summary by Andrew Morris and Jack Mintz:

Table 1: Prioritizing nonpharmacologic interventions according to short-run economic impact, social impact and effect on viral transmission							
		Social impact					
		Low		Medium		High	
		Large R_t effect	Small R_t effect	Large R_t effect	Small R_t effect	Large R_t effect	Small R_t effect
Short-run economic impact	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nonessential border restrictions• Enhance detection/surveillance systems• Universal mask mandates	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Requests to follow public health guidelines by leaders• Temperature and symptom checks (airport, workplace)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Small indoor gathering cancellation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Small outdoor gathering cancellation• Restaurant/bar crowding restrictions or reduced hours	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Gym (high occupancy) closures• Indoor team sport/dance restrictions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Gym (low occupancy) closures• Outdoor team sport/dance restrictions
	Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mass gathering cancellation• Government assistance to vulnerable populations for isolation support and pay	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nonessential business crowding restrictions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Restaurant/bar closures• Guaranteed quarantining (e.g., quarantine hotels, daily in-person checks, etc.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Quarantine on entry to country/region	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nonessential regional travel restrictions• High school and postsecondary school closures	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Daycare closures• Primary school closures
	High	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Essential border restrictions		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nonessential high-density retail closure• Nonessential manufacturing closures	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nonessential low-density retail closures• Nonessential low-density manufacturing closures	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Essential high-density retail closures• Essential high-density manufacturing closures	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Essential low-density retail closures• Essential low-density manufacturing closures• Public transit closures
<p>Note: We used a qualitative approach to categorization.^{7,8} The intent of the table is to identify relative priorities, with the effectiveness of any nonpharmacologic interventions and the magnitude of their economic and social impact being dependent on local contexts. Interventions in green should be introduced earlier; those in grey should be used when aggressive and rapid reductions in case numbers are required.</p>							

Source: Morris & Mintz (5)

Putting short-term economic impacts concerns first is what got us into this mediocre pandemic response

That's ... Not great.

They did say social impacts so what are they recommending?

"provide direct assistance to those most affected"

Hmm... That's vague ■

Besides providing more PPEs there's no mention of measures to increase the safety of workers, or anything specific to the sites of the greatest Canadian outbreaks (e.g. LTC, meat packing plants, distribution warehouses, etc.)

No provisions for precarious frontline workers (e.g. increasing transit capacity to reduce transmission, paid sick leave, mandatory distancing, no permanent or even temporary pay increase, etc.)

No assistance for those who stay home: no canceling rent, no canceling mortgages

No assistance for parents who keep children at home

Nothing wrt to schools, students, educators, or school staff

The lockdown stuff is good, as are the testing/contact tracing/monitoring recommendations. BUT they are measures meant to benefit the economy

Benefits to the public are a just a lucky coincidence if there's no consideration for those who have been made vulnerable

In conclusion I just want to remind everyone to be mindful when supporting a plan whose primary goal is to reduce short-term economic impacts

Thanks for reading

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to @poly_distortion who first put me on the scent

Friends help each other ■