Twitter Thread by Amaan



Amaan
@amaanbali



It is a FACT that GOI accepts that there are faults worthy of amendments. This leads is to an entire new discussion that govt still isn't willing to believe that there are implementation faults & not just faults in the clauses.

> So, if the government has accepted that the bills had these flaws where do those people stand who were defending them as perfect?
>
> — smg (@sialmirzagoraya) December 9, 2020

The eyewash that the proposal is aimed to put better clauses in place. A very nice example is contract farming column itself. GOI proposal suggests that 'PAN card registration will continue but state govt can register the trader if it WANTS to- literally shifting onus to state.

Another example is that onus is shifted on farmer himself to go to the higher court with his expenses. The trader can choose to go to SDM at primary level. Both the options are kept open. This amendment proposal is literally a joke.

Regarding the MSP, govt proposal nowhere cites that it will be assured at C2+50 formula. It is indicated that a written assurance will be given. This is very ambiguous for govt with legal battery of dozens. And disappointing too.

There are concerns on payment systems that haven't been addressed in the proposal and have been kept as 'as per understanding between trader and farmer'. This is ambiguous again. Why isn't DBT assured at govt agency procurement?

To keep it very brief, there are fundamental flaws not only in the way the bills are drafted but also subtle hints of keeping things ambiguous for benefit of the obvious.

Also farm unions have never shifted their goal post from total revocation of the bills.

Some people feel there is rigidity around the unions. NO there isn't. Unions suggest a better & more democratic method.
1. Scrap the laws.
2. Form panel with experts from both sides.
3. Draft new ordinances.
4. Make laws.

If you look at the way these laws were formed & I have tweeted since May, june, that there was ZERO on ground consultations. Yes think tanks thought it about it and then our sell outs from INc & SAD were incapable of understanding the ordinances at that time.

From Manpreet Badal giving a nod at Niti Ayog when ordinances were discussed to Sukhbir Badal keeping mum when ordinances were introduced, they all are complicit mainly because of their inability to understand the flaws and also selfish interests.

It should be very clearly understood that govt has not realised the implementation impacts of the bills. The farmers are on the borders of Delhi & this has increased negotiation power leading govt to make amendments that are eye wash.

If you analyse statements of govt, you do conclude that it will take some more time for them to realise that bills are actually bad on ground as well as on paper. This is the reason protests will intensify in coming days.

For confirmation of what I am saying in this thread, tune in to Narendra Tomar’s press briefing this evening.

Who is the rigid one here now?

Analyse- Introspect- Resolve