

## Twitter Thread by [Yardley Yeadon](#)

[Yardley Yeadon](#)

[@MichaelYeadon3](#)



**I invite people to run the thought experiment: “what if the ‘cases’ data is inaccurate?”**

**Ignore ‘cases’, look instead only at excess deaths (per M Levitt’s tweet). Does that look characteristic of an epidemic? It’s completely diff from spring or any winter flu outbreak.**

**London:**

[@ukiswitheu](#) Can anyone explain why there is no ‘2nd wave’ of excess deaths in London, without invoking herd immunity?

It’s not lockdown. See NW England:

This is the largest #SecondaryRipple (which I predicted).

[@ukiswitheu](#) <https://t.co/b0rT5Lq9HI>

Now check the 3 predictions I made months ago. They’ve all happened. Compare predictions from SAGE’s statements: they’re all wrong.

Even neutrals at this point might ask themselves “if he’s been right on all predictions, maybe he’s correct now?”

[@ukiswitheu](#) I’ve been saying since the Lighthouse Labs got up & running that I’m deeply sceptical about the trustworthiness of their ‘cases’ data. I showed how, at low virus prevalence, the PCR mass testing data was throwing out potentially 90% positives being false?

<https://t.co/7tdvEaNSvN>

[@ukiswitheu](#) <https://t.co/t4qQN4rH0u>

I got ‘fact checked’ a LOT over that statement. This paper just published, about precisely that time period I speculated about. Turns out that high-80s% of Dr Healy’s positives by PCR were FALSE. This alone is sufficient in my view to throw severe doubt...

[@ukiswitheu](#) ...on the trustworthiness of PCR mass testing. And Healy’s findings pre-date Lighthouse Labs! So this was tail end of accredited, well trained NHS path lab testing data. It’s not their fault. I’m not criticising them. It’s a consequence of using a completely unsuitable testing...

@ukiswitheu ...methodology, PCR mass testing, as our surveillance system. Now scroll forward to today. Lighthouse Labs are a small group of private facilities, running completely outside the orbit of usual checks & accreditation to which ALL path labs in the NHS are subject. No one is...

@ukiswitheu ...checking their methods or results. They've never subjected this testing system to independent 3rd party assessment (like lateral flow tests, rigorously evaluated by Porton Down before being used). Govt admitted it does not know the operational false positive rate for PCR...

@ukiswitheu ...mass testing. That's like driving a petrol tanker at speed without an MOT. And the driver isn't HGV trained. It might be fine. That's Govt's assertion. But it's never been checked. Non scientists have often criticised me, saying the oFPR can't possibly be large enough to...

@ukiswitheu ...make that much of a difference.

I say "Yes, it can". We need to know what is the false diagnosis rate of the PCR mass testing system, because it's the DOMINANT dataset driving national policy in every way. And there have been examples in the past, notably when using PCR,

@ukiswitheu <https://t.co/PxH4XNHowG>

@ukiswitheu I ask you to acknowledge that PCR mass testing has failed in the past. In the famous New Hampshire episode, there were hundreds of apparent cases of whooping cough, with 'confirmed cases' coming from PCR testing. But not a single patient was found, by culture, to actually have...

@ukiswitheu ...the infectious agent on board. It was a PCR false positive pseudo epidemic. Now, I do believe there really are some cases of SARS-COV-2 infection & covid19 deaths, just FAR fewer than Govt says, because the PCR mass testing system is untrustworthy & is generation an unknown...

@ukiswitheu ...number of FPs. For goodness sake: it's the work of a day to prove me wrong. I'd need independent 3rd party evaluations now to convince me. So send in the independent scientists, perhaps from Porton Down, and oversee determination of the oFPR. It's quick, it's cheap & would...

@ukiswitheu .. raise confidence in the dataset Govt is using to lock us down. Or it would show they've been driving an HGV without a MOT for months, and we're that close to rolling over in a ball of flames.

Do the checks now, while there is still a country to salvage.

Why is Govt so...

@ukiswitheu ...resistant to doing this quick, cheap & ROUTINE evaluation of what is a glorified medical diagnostic test?

Every other such test in use in the NHS has this certification. Not this, the PCR mass testing system, THE MOST IMPORTANT test we've ever done in U.K. history.

Why are...

@ukiswitheu ...we in this ridiculous position, where people like me, Dr Clare Craig, Dr Jonathan Engler, Joel Smalley, not one of whom has an agenda other than trying to find out why our PCR mass testing system is generating results completely at variance with every other dataset which...

@ukiswitheu ...bears on the incidence of acute respiratory illness in U.K.? If you look at Smalley's latest 'Questions for U.K. Govt' presentation, which draws only on public data, shows a completely different picture to the one you see on the BBC? Why are we reduced to writing legal...

@ukiswitheu ...letters to Matt Hancock, trying to get answers to simple, routine questions of fact?

<https://t.co/i1QhuYZ3M8>

Do you think he'll reply?

Don't YOU want the truth, too?