
Twitter Thread by AParajit Bharat ■



AParajit Bharat ■
@AparBharat

I would like to present on my #Thread on highly overrated Prime Minister from Congress, PV Narismha Rao. Many BJP supporters also get carried away with some asking for Bharat Ratna ■

I will try to present few facts on how PVNR was nothing but yet another failure from Congress.



I would first like to start on why Rao was chosen for the job in 1991.
Forever Prime Minister in waiting Shri Sharad Pawar has alleged in his book that Arjun Singh convinced Sonia Gandhi that Rao will be lame duck Prime Minister & Rao will make way for Arjun Singh to be Cong head

3. Many splits in Congress

Sharad Pawar alleges in his book that Arjun Singh and loyalists convinced Sonia Gandhi that it would be safer for her to back Rao because "he was old and not in a good shape".

Rao had promised he would step down from the post of party president and make way for Arjun Singh as part of the deal but he didn't. The prime ministerial aspirants - ND Tiwari, Arjun Singh and Madhav Rao Scindia, unhappy with Rao's style of functioning and constant sidelining on party issues ultimately left the party and formed their own outfits.

But Rao, didn't step down frm the position of the Party President and this started an internal tussle between then senior Congress leaders & they all formed regional parties. There are two reasons for it, one they were against Rao & 2nd they wanted to get minority votes post 1992

Rao had promised he would step down from the post of party president and make way for Arjun Singh as part of the deal but he didn't. The prime ministerial aspirants - ND Tiwari, Arjun Singh and Madhav Rao Scindia, unhappy with Rao's style of functioning and constant sidelining on party issues ultimately left the party and formed their own outfits.

Now lets looks at Rao's role in Barbie demolition, many say Rao was in favour of demolition & some Congress ppl allege that Rao could have saved the demolition by suspending the UP govt, some say he was happy with the demolition.

Its all lie, I will explain you in detail

PVNR was basically in checkmate situation in 1992, whr he had 2 options:
1- He could hav used military against Ram Bhakts, whr he feared military will not fire on ppl chanting Jay Shree Ram
2- Take strict action post demolition to pacify Mυzlims by taking action

He went for 2nd

"What if some of the troops turned around and joined the mobs instead? It could have unleashed a fire to consume all of India," he said.

Then, why did he not dismiss Kalyan Singh? Mere dismissal, he said, does not mean you can take control. It takes a day or so appointing advisors, sending them to Lucknow, taking control of the state. Meanwhile, what had to happen would have happened and there would have been no Kalyan Singh to blame either. He said the home ministry had indeed kept some contingency plans ready. But he took Advani's word that nothing untoward would happen, seriously. Then, the turn of events was too sudden and catastrophic.



Post demolition PVNR suspended BJP government in HP, MP, Raj & UP

Now his plan B is activated whr he is now trying hard to convince minority that demolition perpetrators shall be punished by law. But damaged had been done, Hindus went closer to BJP & minority moved away frm Cong

New Delhi - The Indian Prime Minister, Narasimha Rao, yesterday dismissed the elected governments of Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Himachal Pradesh and declared direct rule from New Delhi in these three states controlled by the right-wing Hindu Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), writes Tim McGirk. Mr Rao suspended the BJP chief ministers for reportedly failing to carry out a ban on religious extremist groups in the wake of week-long riots between Muslims and Hindus.

The move is expected to draw the Congress government into a fierce showdown with the BJP, whose two

Minority votes in UP went to Mulayam & in Bihar it went to Laloo which made the M+Y combination possible, this permanently weakened the Cong & hence senior Congress leaders started calling PVNR as BJP agent, Rao had no affinity towards Hindus, he became the scape goat for party

His failure as prime minister to save Babri was colossal. But his rivals within crippled the Congress by spreading the canard that his (and their) government was complicit. This, more than anything else, lost them the Muslim vote in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar. It gifted Mulayam Singh and Lalu the M for their M-Y (Muslim-Yadav) vote bank. It is this lost vote bank that Rahul Gandhi has been trying to win back for a decade, with poor success.

Why would the Muslims trust him when the Congress has itself built a mythology whereby the Muslims have come to hold their party as responsible for Babri and not the BJP?

Senior Congress leaders tried to convince Sonia Gandhi for an imterna coup to occupy Rao's chair, but that did not happen & hence they blamed PVNR for 1992 & moved away frm Congress.

That's because Liberhan destroyed the canard they themselves have built against their own party, more specifically against Rao. They did it not because they really believed Rao was a bigot and complicit in the destruction. Most of them (remember the ones who broke away from the party in the name of genuine secularism then?) saw it as a great excuse to persuade a still-outsider Sonia Gandhi to bless an insiders' coup, and replace Rao, preferably with Arjun Singh.

Many Congress leaders openly supported PVNR, saying he is a truly secular leader & hence his secular credentials should not be questioned. In short he was Congressi■

Now we know why PVNR's dead body was not brought to the Cong HQ, coz PVNR is now an enemy in the eyes of Minority

Those who knew Rao well, including former Prime Minister Manmohan Singh, would never doubt his secular commitment. That he was a believer, visited temples and participated in rituals is also well known. But these did not undermine that commitment. It is simple for a non-believer to be secular. It becomes a clearer ideological commitment in the case of a believer.

In an interview in 1993, PVNR said that he was committed to rebuild the mosque & it will fulfill it at any cost. He never said anything in favour of Ram Mandir. He said BJP can't win this battle legally, he was confident that ruling will be in favour of Mosque.
But 2019 ■■■

Q. For example, rebuilding the mosque. Is that a firm commitment?

A. We are not going back on that now. We have said we would see to it that it is rebuilt. That's all. There are so many other cobwebs we have to remove. The whole thing is in litigation. But then I had to make the commitment to rebuild it. I was duty-bound.

Read Article

Q. You don't think that the issue wou become more alive by talking of rebuilding the mosque?

A. You owe it as a commitment. Having made the commitment we go into the details of how it is to be fulfilled. There is a commitment about the temple also. That matter too is fraught with so ma litigations and legal points. The point about the BJP is that they don't want a legal process.


PVNR said that Advani had assured him that Mosque will not be touched & he said Advani betrayed him. Now to get back at Advani, PVNR put false hawala charges on Advani in 1996, whr Advani got acquitted in 1998
New BJP supporters aren't aware of the torture which BJP leaders faced

Narasimha Rao felt betrayed over Babri, he avenged it by trapping Advani in hawala scandal

SHEKHAR GUPTA


6 December, 2017





After Barbie demolition, the 2nd most overrated claim is that PVNR is the original architect of the Nuclear Test & he convinced Vajpayee to complete the mission.

This is just another lie, bear with me if this thread gets lil longer, but I will explain with details.



PVNR govt & his overrated economic reforms made them mercenary of US. Now conducting a nuclear test means they hav to face economic sanctions which then government was not willing to risk. This is literally a shame, how PM is going against national interest by not conducting Test

United States

The sanctions on India consisted of cutting off all assistance to India except humanitarian aid, banning the export of certain defence material and technologies, ending American credit and credit guarantees to India, and requiring the US to oppose lending by international financial institutions to India.

CIA meet Vajpayee in 1996 & they were convinced that Vajpayee was in favour of the Nuclear Test, hence in 1996 they stopped Vajpayee from getting power with the help of Congress. But finally Vajpayee ji became PM in 1998 & test was sanctioned despite CIA pressure tactics.

▪ The same INR report cited the signs of activity at the test site that began in November 1995, including improvements in security and "infrastructural upgrades," and that made the Clinton administration worry that Rao might authorize a test.

▪ An August 1996 conversation between Ambassador Frank Wisner and BJP leader and future prime minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee. According to an embassy message, Vajpayee's "body language" indicated that he "would favor a test."

Two years later on 11 and 13 May 1998, under Vajpayee's leadership, the Indians held

Vajpayee made it clear in 1998 that he is not afraid of economic sanctions, country like India can't be threatened with sanctions. Now compare his approach with PVNR. US did put sanctions on us but that didn't affect us at all, so Vajpayee's stand was vindicated.

The government led by Prime Minister Vajpayee was willing to undergo the pain of economic suffering that these economic sanctions bought with them, than to agree to the demands of the global community. The Nehru–Gandhian ideology of self-reliance, along with the Hindutva ideology, played a very important role in India developing a hard policy position on the de-nuclearization issue. India has continuously suggested that it is a reluctant nuclear power, and has historically argued regarding the futility of possessing nuclear weapons. However, 'Indian policy makers also suggest that although global nuclear disarmament is desirable, it is not feasible. The process of developing an ideology is part of the evolutionary process of a nation state, and India is attempting to locate itself within Nehru's idealism, the western world's realism, and its desire to be a counted as a major global power' (Chakravarthi 2009). 'There has indeed been a gradual evolution in India's nuclear trajectory, which has revealed a transformation in India's strategic thinking from the Gandhian brand of moralistic politics to one of a militarized thinking – a transformation justified given the geo-strategic insecurities facing the Indian polity' (Das 2010).

As a result, the Indian policy community found US demands of de-nuclearization, to sign the NPT and to accept the terms of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty both morally and strategically unacceptable. In an interview, the then Indian Prime Minister Atal Bihari Vajpayee remarked:

'(Economic) sanctions cannot and will not hurt us. India will not be cowed down by any such threats and punitive steps. India has the sanction of her own past glory and future vision to become strong – in every sense of the term' (Chawla 1998).

Although these sanctions did have a marginal impact on the Indian economy, but they failed to have any effect on the nuclear policies of the Indian government. Eventually, these economic sanctions were quietly lifted by President Clinton in May 2000, and officially revoked by President George Bush in 2001 (Hindu 2001).

Now after 1998 Pokhran Test, US had to bring down Vajpayee govt at any cost. They assigned this task to the trusted international agent Sυbramanian Swαmy & look who attended the tea party in 1999, it was PVNR, which means he also

played a part in bringing down Vajpayee govt in 99



Now lets look at PVNR's involvement in scams. He was directly involved in Harshad Mehta scam & he received bribe. Jethmalani produced audio cassette of conversation to prove the charges against PVNR. We all know how Harshad Mehta died mysteriously & this scam was buried in coffin

Throwback: When Dalal Street scamster Harshad Mehta claimed he paid Rs 1 cr to PM Narasimha Rao



Harshita Tyagi

Updated Oct 17, 2020 | 06:11 IST

Harshad Mehta alleged that he had paid Rao Rs 1 crore to get him off the hook in the case. While Rao denied the allegations, he never denied meeting the broker.

PREV NEXT



PVNR was close to Godman Chandraswami who was well known international agent, well connected to international lobby including arms dealer. Chandraswami was arrested in 97 & his trusted friend Su Swαmy openly defended him. I will write separate thread to expose SuSu &Chandraswami

Rise to fame

He first gained fame through his skill as an astrologer but his rise to national prominence came as a result of his association with Prime Minister Narasimha Rao. Chandraswami was said to have been his spiritual adviser.[6] Soon after Rao became Prime Minister in 1991, Chandraswami built an ashram known as Vishwa Dharmayatan Sanathan in Delhi's Qutub Institutional Area. The land for the ashram had been allotted by Indira Gandhi. Chandraswami is said to have dispensed spiritual advice to the Sultan of Brunei, Sheikh Isa bin Salman Al Khalifa of Bahrain, actress Elizabeth Taylor, British PM Margaret Thatcher, arms dealer Adnan Khashoggi, crime lord Dawood Ibrahim, and Tiny Rowland. His loyal supporters include his secretary Vikram Singh, Vijay Chauhan and the late Kailash Nath Aggarwal, known as Mamaji. Chandraswami's finances have fluctuated with his political fortune.

PVNR govt was saved in 1993 by cash for vote scandal, where they bought MP's to cross vote in the favour of the govt. Chandrashekar's faction voted for PVNR again fixer Swαmy in action. Now you know why he has regards for Rao. Cong has so much love for democracy ■



Four JMM MPs voted against the motion so did four MPs belonging to the Janata Dal (Ajit Singh) faction. Three MPs of the Mulayam group from Chandrasekhar's party and three MPs of the BSP abstained from voting.

It was later alleged that the JMM MPs were bribed to vote for Rao. He was convicted in the case (only prime minister to be convicted in a corruption case) in lower courts but higher courts acquitted him. Ajit Singh later joined the Congress and was made a cabinet minister.

PVNR's Kashmir Policy was also utter failure, he never recognised Pandit exodus plus he wasn't as ruthless on insurgency as todays Modi Gov is.
PVNR was often soft on the military action & often tried monkey balancing. Insurgency grew many folds under his regime, we paid price ■

The Indian Government followed its decision to pull its troops back from the holiest Muslim shrine in the Indian-controlled part of Kashmir today with a decision to extend for six months the powers under which it rules the disputed territory.

Senior Indian officials said that the moves reflected the quandary that faces the Government of Prime Minister P. V. Narasimha Rao after four years of insurgency by Muslim militants in Kashmir. With divisions among his top advisers on the balance to be struck between seeking a military victory and a political settlement, Mr. Rao has apparently settled on a middle course.

PVNR's Pakistan policy was also a big failure after Pak PM Ms. Bhutto declared open support to the insurgency in Kashmir, PVNR was still trying to talk to Pak using diplomatic channel.

Pak had support of US & OIC whr resolution was made in UN against India, we somehow saved face

The then Pakistan Prime Minister extended her full support to the terrorists, who were fighting in Kashmir during 1990s. Pakistani parliament passed a resolution which rejected the Jammu and Kashmir's accession to India on 9th February, 1990 and even demanded the implementation of UN resolution. Though Rao tried to use diplomatic channels to discuss Kashmir issue with the then PM of Pakistan Benazir Bhutto yet Pakistan internationalized the issue. Bhutto declared her full support to terrorists. Even US tried to deflect the attention of international community from POJK.

The New Delhi was alarmed when in May 1993 John Malott of US State Department followed by Robin Raphael visited India and started speaking the language of Pakistan on

Regarding the 1991 economics reforms & liberation from licence raj, I have already bursted those claims in my Manmohan Singh thread. I have attached the link whr you will find truth about 1991 reforms.

I have attached all relevant sources to it
https://t.co/4qabwJpBZH

> 1991 Finance Minister of India
>
> Manmohan is often described as hero of economic reforms and liberalisation of the economy. Pliable media has hundreds of articles but no one told u that IMF gave the loan under certain conditions like liberalisation & the list as highlighted below pic.twitter.com/pJsAedcr5T
>
> — AParajit Bharat \U0001f60c (@AparBharat) September 20, 2020

Already the thread has become too long, I have made enough points to highlight failures of PV Narismha Rao's tenure as PM. Swαmy has demanded Bharat Ratna for Rao, as they both were partners in crime

Leaders like Vajpayee, Advani & Modi are often underrated by BJP supporters.