Twitter Thread by Pak Ngah Shamsul



Pak Ngah Shamsul
@shamsul__kamal

Justice in the Wilderness

Some have asked for my review of the book. Follow the thread only if you are interested

I dont want to bore anyone unnecessarily ■

As in many memoirs its content can be categorised into 2. Statement of facts & opinions. Where facts are concerned, I believe his recounts are true & fair with no malice or bias intended. Opinions are, well just that & it can be interpreted in many ways

I thought of writing a long one but on 2nd thoughts ill keep it as concise as i can

Truth can be uncomfortable but we need to hear it in order to move forward

And i believe TT has been very frank in his book & to truly appreciate it, one has to put aside sensitivities & listen

Key takeaways from the book; Deterioration of meritocracy & impartiality & the desecration of the judiciary by the powers that be. He has pinpointed to the events that have led to this

If we truly care for our country it is critical that we give it serious considerations

TT is a world class lawyer. Very adept, consistently exercising due care & dilligence. His commitment in following thru is impeccable, driven by his desire to deliver best results in his clients interest (Malaysia as AG) eg. his relentless pursuit of Goldman Sachs, Equanimity

Another thing that impressed me was how productive he had been serving as an AG under just 2 years of service

He related all his major works in the book and perhaps this is the most facinating part of the memoir

He has clout everywhere in the world (even with FBI) and always manages to get the best representations, largely on pro bono

Losing him as an AG was a great loss especially many issues that he was working on was still underway

Nowhere in his book was he "anti Malay" & i welcome anyone to debate me on the contrary

All the publicity on some excerpts were out of context. You need to read the whole book to gain deeper understanding

Some cons. The memoir is a bit long winded at some points & too short at others. In a couple of instances he assumed readers knew some cases he was referring abt. So some cross references is needed along the way

There were also some ambiguity which i wish i could ask personally

Overall it was a good read and an important lesson to us all. Many historical perspectives that are informative too

I would highly recommend reading it

If there is any specific questions or disagreement on what said, you are welcomed to do so