Twitter Thread by Comrade Fudge

Comrade Fudge
@HNIJohnMiller

I've decided that I will not be spouting off an uninformed, kneejerk opinion on a topic I don't have enough information about. Instead I shall cast shame on the idiots who do, and bring further confusion to everyone.

> What\u2019s your level of optimism on this election going to the Supreme Court
>
> — Dr. Gulag inmate president elect 69420 (@ViewnComs) December 17, 2020

We as a people have been defanged not only by being fed wheelbarrows full of raw unmitigated horseshit, but even the knowledge of where to go to find this information has been buried beneath obscuring layers of horseshit thicker than the planet's crust.

We do not live in a democracy, whose vital functions require a freeflow of information much like pure capitalism requires a completely informed consumer populace. We instead live in an oligopoly of knowledge, where important facts we need to know are denied to us and hoarded away

Much like how we do not live under true capitalism as knowledge we need to make informed decisions as consumers is locked away and hidden behind thicc layers of legal documents, denying us knowledge of our rights under the rules of commerce we allegedly agreed to.

This is how we are denied our rights. By denying us knowledge of our rights, and then by denying us pathways to seek said knowledge, and if not removing them then hiding them within websites hosted on fucking geocities servers and javascript written by lowest bidder.

This is how, then, we are denied even the essential knowledge of what to expect from our political process.

This is not intentional, I hope. It is often a joke in legislatures that a bill is called 'immaculate conception', in that no one knows where the fuck it came from.

I have tried to trace the origins of many harmful bills no one has heard of. To my best knowledge, these bills are randomly dropped in committees, no one knows who writes them, they are passed by committee so committee looks like it did something, then attached to bigger bill.

These bigger bills are often of some relative import, and then they are passed. Then said smaller bills are forgotten about, and sometimes passed several times in varying forms, previous versions utterly ignored until stumbled upon in legal arguments brought before judges.

Then big brained legal scholars who make money arguing over these exact cases declare 'legal redundancy is good!', thus ensuring work continues to exist for them untangling these redundancies.

But you asked question, and I have meandered. So I shall provide a more concise answer

How optimistic am I about the election cases going to SCOTUS?

I do not fucking know.

I have no way of knowing.

What I do know is that NO ONE FUCKING KNOWS.

NOT THE LAWYERS.

NOT THE JUDGES.

NOT THE REPORTERS.

NOT EVEN SCOTUS.

NO ONE HAS A GODDAMN CLUE ANYMORE.