Twitter Thread by <u>Darren Sloan#UDI</u>





1/

This is applied psychology based on Stanley Milgrams 'obedience to authority' exercise.

2/

Subjects were asked to administer electric shocks to victims/actors.

If the subject had visual and voice feedback compliance to the extreme fell to 40%.

If the subject had to touch the victim it fell to 30%.

If a non authority figure gave order compliance was zero.

3/

If the subject performed a subsidiary task, not actually administering the shocks obedience was 100%.

If the subject was part of a peer/actor group that planned refusal of the authority order then most subjects (90%) refused too.

4/

When not directly watched by authority many subjects cheated by giving lower shocks.

A seemingly voluntary entry into an authority system 'perceived' as legitimate creates a strong sense of obligation.

5/

The notions of 'loyalty, duty and discipline require competent performance in the eyes of authority, become moral imperatives overriding any identification with the victim.

The situation obligation makes refusal appear improper, rude or even a moral breach of obligation.

6/

Also when resistant subject/actors left the groups the naive subjects found it easier to conform to the authority.

But when subject/actors became more sadistic in shocks the subject was more likely to follow suit.

7/

The authority tells us we need to protect the vulnerable.

To go along with their instructions as they know best.

We are indoctrinated into that cult of moral responsibility whilst ignoring the authorities lack of care and the growing harms done by our actions.

8/

The masks hide our emotions & humanity.

It makes it easier for others to persecute and vilify the non compliers.

Lack of touch enhances our resistance to empathy

We're being manipulated & steered towards our downfall using psychological techniques

Its time to resist together