

Twitter Thread by [RussianZedSign](#)



[RussianZedSign](#)

[@ZedSignBot](#)



I've been mulling for some time a thread on my opinions on this point.

There's an asinine assumption that all pro Russia people are automatically pro Putin; this is manifestly not true. I personally think Putin sold out the LDNR in 2014 and if left to himself would do it again.

You have no idea the disappointment I and many supporters of the Donbass felt in 2014 when Putin stopped the counteroffensive at the gates of Maripol when the AFU was at its weakest. This memory of betrayal was why so many Ru supporters are so quick to doom about negotiations.

— Dr.Snekotron (@snekotron) [September 6, 2022](#)

I will be posting this thread in the full knowledge that it will cost me a lot of followers. That's all right; those who know who I really am will be well aware that these are opinions I have very strongly held since 2014 anyway.

[@not3bad](#)

[@MalatestaCarina](#)

The book 85 Days In Slavyansk confirms what I have been saying for years (and been called a troll on sites like the Junkyard Of The Faker for saying so):

1. Russia could have denazified Ukrnazistan with extreme ease in 2014. The people of Donbass were ready and waiting.

Huge numbers of pro Russia people had not fled the country yet. The rest had not been systematically brainwashed and intimidated into tacitly or open backing the then totally shambolic coup regime. The Ukrainian military had mostly defected or disintegrated, didn't even dare...

...enter Slavyansk, and could have been overwhelmed in a day with next to no violence.

2. Putin sold out the LDNR.

(a) First by opposing their referendums

(b) Second by refusing to come to their aid when they were desperately holding on and waiting for Russian intervention.

(c) The ultimate betrayal of all: recognition of the Poroshenko regime as "legitimate", which I have not yet seen even the most fanatic Putinists manage to defend. Putin in a spring 2022 speech finally called it an illegitimate coup regime; why did he recognise it all these years?

Add to this the post-2014 betrayals of the LDNR:

1. The failure to help the LDNR military when the nazis were reeling back in defeat in 2015 after the battles of Ilovaisk, Debaltsevo and Donetsk Airport, instead forcing them to stop short of Mariupol when even the French media

had given up the city as "lost". Later it came out that this was because Putin had hoped to be able to buy off Azovstal owner Rinat Akhmetov along with other Ukrainian oligarchs, one of the most wrong headed ideas I have ever heard of.

As expected EUNATO simply outbid Russia for oligarch support.

2. As even the Faker admitted, though he didn't say why, Russia had systematically economically neglected the LDNR all these years, when even an export of sausages to Russia was noteworthy enough to make the news.

The only plausible explanation is a desire to compel the LDNR to accept Minsk II if the Ukrnazis did.

3. The much ballyhooed Minsk II agreement, which the Ukrnazis now admit that they were never going to honour, and which would have compelled the LDNR to dissolve themselves

and live, disarmed, under some limited (and easily in future abrogated) autonomy. Even as late as 22 February 2022, a week after the Ukranazi coup regime had begun massively shelling the LDNR ahead of its planned attack, Russia was still pushing Minsk II.

It isn't Putin's fault that the Ukrnazis were too stupid to grab this golden opportunity.

4. As late as March Russia was still negotiating- and had reached a deal with Ukrnazistan- to withdraw from all post 24 Feb liberated territory in return for ■■■ rejecting NATO membership.

If the Ukrnazis had not been so stupid as to listen to Boorish Johnson and rejected the offer, there would have been Bucha massacres from Mariupol to Kherson and all across small towns in the east and north, and going by recent videos where newly liberated people demand assurances

that Russia will not leave, the Ukrainian civilians are extremely aware of it. Ukrnazistan also would have been able to make tacit Western alliances separately with all NATO members, just not with the organisation as a whole.

As such I am totally with Denis Pushilin when he demanded a 300 kilometre deep buffer zone from the LDNR border to protect it from any future nazi aggression. Having been sold out repeatedly by Putin, he has learnt his lesson and doesn't wish to go through it again.

It is not, contrary to Putin supporters' claims, a sign of your "genius" when your enemies make idiot blunders that help you; it is the fallacy of arguing back from circumstances to intentions. Putin didn't foresee in 2014 that the EU would cut its own

throat.

Everything instead says he was perfectly willing, even eager, to betray the LDNR from 2014-22 so as to have a working relationship with the EU again. This is why I do not trust any "negotiations" talk at all, and neither should you.

I've been making these points over the years:

1. Russia wouldn't have to occupy Ukraine in 2014; it could have eliminated the nazis, installed a friendly regime, and gone home again.
2. Not doing so permitted the EUNATO cabal to turn the nazis into a proxy NATO military that

Would inevitably have to be destroyed in a major military operation; that is what we're seeing now, after said military operation was postponed until it could literally no longer be postponed. I had said Russia would need to pay to rebuild the liberated territory, and that's

What we are seeing now too. (And of course all this did was get me insulted by "pro Russia" people online.)

Here are some "arguments" put forward by opponents of my thinking:

1. I don't know what Putin and his government know.

I find this as persuasive as the Bushies who in

2003 said Bush knew the real facts about Iraq and all of us war opponents would be proved wrong.

2. The EU would've crushed Russia with sanctions in 2014.

Rubbish, they tried their best at the time. The blood soaked mass murdering child droning war criminal Barack Hussein Obama

Boasted in 2015 that he'd "turned Russia's economy to tatters." The American Empire was still mired to the gills in Afghanistan and at the mercy of Russia there. The EU was not invested even remotely as much as now in Ukraine. 8 years of propaganda had not been created to

Brainwash western opinion in favour of the blueyellow nazi rag. There would have been AT MOST about as much reaction to the destruction of the Chechen separatist regime in 1999-2000 or Georgia 2008. Probably less because bloodshed would have been closer to zero at the time.

I have other things to say that I'll hold back for now. But for the present, if you hear any talk of "negotiations", you'd better hope they never get off the ground.

I'm done.