# Twitter Thread by ■Rintrah Radagast■■■■■■■



**■Rintrah Radagast■■■■■■■**
@rintrahradagast



**A Brazilian Butt Lift has a mortality rate of 1 in 3000. Beauty is subjective, yet it matters enough to human beings that we give our lives for it. If we consider masks to be ugly, then that can be sufficient reason not to wear them. Aesthetics matter.**

Cave diving ends in death once every 3286 dives. We don't prohibit it, we let people decide for themselves whether this existentially meaningful experience is worth the risk to them. It's not the role of public health to decide which risks human beings are allowed to take.

This my friends, is the real issue to comprehend. "Masks don't work" or "lockdowns don't work" are all nice, but ultimately secondary arguments. A cabal of pencil-necked conscientious technocrats should not have the right to make these type of decisions for us.

If you go down the road of masks and lockdowns don't work/the virus is not very deadly, you're not hitting the core of the problem: They have no right to decide for us what the human experience should entail. We want freedom because we want freedom, not because of a pie chart.

"But what about seatbelts?!?" There's a difference between natural rights and legal rights. Natural rights are derived from observing nature. There are no cars in nature. What the public health class wants is to violate natural rights.

Freedom of assembly, religion, medical self-determination, these are the sort of products of natural rights, that derive from observing how the natural world functions, that are now under threat.