

## Twitter Thread by [Daoyu](#)

[Daoyu](#)

[@Daoyu15](#)



<https://t.co/o8zqouq0HB>

**Bias, however, is an important problem in the WHO data. Also, an important problem seen in the points being scattered around is that they seems to be pulled toward the Huanan market—it was likely that it was used as the point of origin for the coordinate**

[@danwalker9999](#) System used. <https://t.co/KnPRvIN8uK> At this level of imprecision, it becomes impossible to distinguish the Huanan market, the Wuhan CDC, and the Hankou railway station—the last of which is the main transport hub in Wuhan and the only transport hub reachable from the WIV within

[@danwalker9999](#) 1 transfer on the metro system. Cases “unlinked” were mainly clustered north of the Hankou station—which was one of the major exits through the hankou station and one of the transfer stations next to the Hankou railway station. Even connor reed, which is on the east of the

[@danwalker9999](#) Yangtze river, supposedly have onset in November 2019 (whistleblower case #2), was connected to the WIV directly—it was on the same metro line, line 8, as the WIV. As he is an education worker, he need to commute to Wuchang where the universities are—exposing him to the WIV

[@danwalker9999](#) Every time he takes line 8 to commute. <https://t.co/5TlqOjt2p1>

In addition to enforced ascertainment bias toward the market with a retrospective case search that specifically targeted the immediate surrounding of Huanan market, symptomology bias with lineage B created an

[@danwalker9999](#) Intrinsic bias since lineage A and lineage v is much milder, and ARDS/severe Pneumonia is extremely uncommon in lineage A cases. This effectively made the WHO blind toward cases before the evolution of lineage B in the Hankou railway station. Even though IgG serology and

[@danwalker9999](#) Post-lockdown mass testing show that highest prevalence of infection was in Wuchang not Jiangnan or Jiangnan.

[@danwalker9999](#) All retrospective efforts before the Hubei health committee ordered all the rest of the samples destroyed and information about them sealed away from public release (especially the WHO report), was focused on the immediate

surrounding of the Huanan market.

[@danwalker9999](#) The similar problem also crept up with their weibo data—which, if they used time-resolved alerts in Wuhan, would have resulted in Wuchang being the epicenter of early case alerts before 18/01/2020.