Twitter Thread by I am BIMO



I am BIMO
@YourTimeUp_IPS

Ever since my childhood, I have read that the Jati (NOT Caste) is worst creation of our ancestors. There r many who says JAATI is nt by birth, but it's by Karma, without even knowing the difference between Jaati, Varna and Caste. This has led to #IdentityCrisis.
My thread

JAATI is derived from 'Jaat', which simply means common birth.

You take a common birth in a family, which has its own KUL established at time of Vivah (dint you notice that?).

So your common birth (Jaat) is nothing but your KUL. That's why it is called as Jaati, NOT Varna

And that's what you see, there are many KULS (Jaatis) in each Varna. This Jaati is by birth. No one on this planet can change that. How can you even change your KUL?

That's why see so many Jaatis, contrary to only four Varnas.

Isn't it?

It is not always necessary that one KUL is one Jaati. Ideally it should have been, having said that when it is combined with profession, many KULS collectively became One JAATI. That's what u see, many KUL Devta/ Devi within one JAATI.

NOT to forget the Varna is also as per Purva Janma. If you don't believe in that it's fine. But, that's the bitter truth, though many modern scholar will have different opinion, rightly so.

But, The problem is why do people don't believe in Jaati or Varna is by birth because

People have became Victim of Atrocity literature created by Protestant Britishers n propagated by many so called Social reformers including Fule, Ramabai, Pariyar and Lately Dr Saab Rafter 1857 KRANTI.

Remember, NOT Britishers, PROTESTNET Britishers had come to India.

Haven't you notice that JAATI became Bad thing only after 1872? All of sudden many social reformer including "Dayananda" came to light before that they never existed.

In order to understand this, you will have to know the history of Christianity.

U will notice that Christianity in initials days was all about bringing reforms in the way Pagans and other cavilisaton used to follow many Gods and religious act. But, at the end it was only Christ and his God remained, and other were vanished.

Always remember Christ's God is one of the many Gods of Polytheist Pagans God.

Similar approach was applied here in India, in the name of reforms and had failed miserably before 1840s. Do you recall who was Mohan Roy? A christ propagator, who credited to end SATI in Bengal,

Ironically, The Sati Pratha which had no existence in Bengal.

Please read a book Sati by Dr Meenaskhi Jain to know more about his fraud and how he cheated Hinuds in the name of Brahmo Samaj.

https://t.co/plUWb1432H

Now coming back to the topic,

U hv been taught that India has always been poor, and a section of society has been exploited for 5 k yrs.

Which is absolutely NOT true.

Due this kind of history being taught to Common Indian People, through University Sociology Books. You will read that Brahmins have played a very instrumental role in exploiting Shudra.

But, when we see our "Shashtras" it has a different perception of Brahmins, then how

Can they do otherwise?

This is where people get confused.

On one side pple hv firmly believe in Our Shastra and History, thy r forced to think that something must hv been wrong with our society n on other side people will naturally feel Victim, when they don't get to it.

Under such circumstance, there are two type of category! Both wil think that something was wrong with past. That's whr d conflicts come! They will hv to come to some common minimum point where our Varna/ Jati Vyavastha can be justified.

That's how they say, Varna or Jaati is NOT by birth, but by Karma. That's okay.

One may agree!

But, the basis of this agreement or conclusive point shall not be 'Atrocity Literature', that Brahmins or so called upper Classes have exploited Shudras for 5K long back years.

This is the problem. #The_Identity_Crisis.

You are forced to believe in these fake atrocity literature based stories. It is a well organized theologically inspired stories.

How can one even believe in such fake stories without even realizing that India was Richest, Most prosperous country on this planet with world GDP share of 24% till 1750, even after facing destructive fanatics Islamic invasion?

India has ben richest since ages, 35% GDP in 0 AD

This just two pictures.

Please read Prof. Agnus Maddison's book on world GDP history.

(Although it has many flaws but it will give in general idea of about world GDP history)

Then the very fundamental question that arises when India was so rich, who was exploited here?

In fact, poverty was unknown to India before Protestent Britishers arrival in India: Shashi Tharoor.

He rightly said this.

https://t.co/aR8EE2yg70

When There was no poverty, then who was exploited here?

Answer is NO ONE.

Think!

Yes, you read it correctly!

In fact there is not a single evidence of any exploitation.

There are many Foreign travelers who had visited India before 1750, have not accounted any single story (I would happy to be corrected).

In fact, Evidences are contradictory.

I will talk about those evidences later on.

But, the main point which I would like to address is that all the mess started when Protestant Britishers started looting India.

In 200 yrs of their rule they looted 45 trillion USD: India's Foreign Minister

https://t.co/8IgDjGrZTf

This 45 trillion USD is massive!

Huge! Huge!

I wonder, why no one on this planet has ever tried to understand it's consequence on the society. This value is 17 times UK Annual Domestic Gross Production. Twice of US GDP at present approximately.

https://t.co/kwqWnQC0ko

Now imagine, had NOT been looted then what would have been India?

The Richest! The Wealthiest! Most Prosperous!

There was a this very solid reason why Neo Abrahmics of Europe and America (Christians) and of Middle East, Arabs (Mughals) colonized/invaded India.

So the Question whether JAATI or VARNA is by birth or by Karma is irrelevant. U believe in anything, doesn't make any sense. But, Please come out of your inferiority complex. Then take whatever decision. Yr decision should not be on the basis of This Fake History of Exploitation.

Please note that India's Socio Economic Model was totally different. Every Jaatis were an enterprise unlike what you see today, all the economy is controlled and monopolized by a Ptd LTD. The so called Upper caste were totally dependent on Shudras Jaatis based enterprise.

If you want to know more, in details about the what was India during 1800s, Please read the original survey done by East India Company's employee, Hamilton, Francis during his Journey from Madras through the countries of Mysore, Canara, and Malabar. Read
https://t.co/7sJomDkCzE

Now coming back to CASTE. This is Portuguese term which meant initially distinct group of people. But, after arrival of Protestant Britishers, they continued using this term, but it's meaning changes over a period of time as they were always confused with this.

At the end they end up using it as in Racial context.

Thee are four types of British Rule

1750s - 1800s

1800 - 1850s

1850s (1857) - 1900.

1900 - 1947.

Before 1857 'Caste' was not bad things, In fact it was never a bad thing prior to 1872.

BRAHIMNS were Tribe in 1776.

in 1776, Nathaniel Halhed, a British grammarian working for the East India Company, in his book "A Code of Gentoo Laws"

A translation of 'Viv■d■r■avasetu' from Sanskrit to Bengali to Persian to English, authenticate that amongst four tribes and Brahmin Tribe was one.

In 1776, Brahmins were Tribe.

As per Mr Princep's Census of 1834 in Benaras there were 107 Castes (Now it's Jaati) of Brahmins.

Then in 1871 census it became only ONE Caste (Racial context)

How come Brahmins became ONE Caste from more than 100+ castes?

Because by now, Brahmins were identified as Arian (NOT Arya or Aryan) Tribe Brahmins of Caucasus Race as former ancestors of Protestant Britishers who came here in India to civilized Indians who were again Black Ethiopian Cushist Race.

CASTE BEACME BAD. Fule came into picture

Just Google Arian Christians.

Then in 1881 census Brahmins are Superior caste, Babhans are Intermediate Caste, and Pandits are Tribe.

In 1871 census there was nothing like low caste people or Superior caste.

But, there were biblical term Outcast, or Pariah.

But, the main question is On what ground these type of people were identified?

Biblical?

Isn't it?

There are many many things that happened between 1857 to 1881.

1. Madras University and few other university were established in 1857 - 1860.

2. By now Arian Race Invasion Hypothesis was conceptualized by Maxmuller (He proposed this in 1847)

3. By now Dravidian Ethiopean Race was identified by Bishop Cadewell (it was proposed in 1847)

Initially the term Tamulian was used by Scottish Missionary Stevenson instead of Dravid, post 1857 Ironically it was replaced with Arian's Sanskrit term Dravid and at same time "Arian" was replaced with "Aryan" to match it with "Arya".

This is how they were playing with similar sounding words and with effort to relate Sanskrit close to Latin. Unfortunately, then study of similar sounding words (Philology) used considered as Science.

(U must know difference between Science and Vigyan)

Now the well conceptualized, Biblical Ethnical Division of World , 'Arian Tribe of White Caucasian Invasion Hypothesis' on 'Black Ethiopian Cushisht Race' was being propagated through college academia and it was taught as Science.

This is where the role of Fule came into picture

The Joti Fule who already had an associated with Scottish Missionary which identified Black Ethiopian Cushist Race (This term was proposed by Maxmuler) as Dravidian Race.

You will notice that Joti Fule was had never fought against CASTE or Brahmins before 1865.

Some how, Fule was aware of the story of Arian Brahmins Tribe Invasion hypothesis. He started propagating this though his many Marathi Poems between 1869 to 1873.

In all his poem he became critical of Brahmins and caste.

(He was no where in the scene before 1865)

NOT to forget Fule was associated with the Same Scottish Missionary, that's what make him the FIRST Indian to have ever propagate Arian Tribe Brahmins of Caucasian Race's Invasion Hypothesis

His all poems wre published either in Missionary magzines or in associated publications.

As we all know that there was no existence of term "Low Caste" in the first Indian census of 1872.

Mr Fule played a very important role in making of the low caste/depressed caste identity as between 1869 and 1881.

With the sponsored information that Mr Phule had obtained from Scottish and American Missionary, he wrote many poetic writings such as i) A Ballad of the Raja Chatrapati Shivaji Bhosale, published in June 1869 in Bombay; ii) Priestcraft Exposed, published later in the same year.

and iii) Slavery, published in 1873. All of these writings were published in missionary sponsored publication. In his 'A Ballad of the Raja Chatrapati Shivaji Bhosale' poetic writing, Fule imagined how the seventeenth-century Maratha Kshatriya was exploited by Peshwa Brahmins.

The ballad placed Shivaji within a startlingly new and overtly anti-Brahman interpretation of Maharashtra's history and culture. He drew a hypothetical parallel between Shivaji and the mythical King Bali as the leaders of the Shudra (Lower) castes against external oppressors.

His “Priestcraft Exposed” was an account of pre-Aryan realm of mythical King Bali. In his Poetic Salvery, Mr Phule stated how a Vaman (Brahmin, a incarnation of Vishnu) killed King Bali and how Parashuram destroyed the Kshatriyas.

He incorporated the Christian scheme within his own worldview by the assimilation of Christ to a larger tradition of 'King Bali' figures. He also referred to Brahmins as cunning priests. Can u recall? On ONAM, there was a tweet by Kejariwal and he was trolled for that tweet

and Even True Indology has made a great thread on that topic. All Left Gangs were rattled due to the fact that TI has established the fact that King Bali was a Brahmin, son of Rishi Kashyap, in contrary to the general perception that Bali was Native King and some how he a Christ.

Now I hope you are able to correlate everything. Why so much crying happened over Onam.

https://t.co/csISEzx38m

The tweet by Kejariwal was a very well strategic move, as he wants to establish his party in UP, he will play Pro Brahmin card. Didn't you notice the Sanjaya's rant against Yogi, and Calling it Thakurwad?

Reason : 15% population of Brahmins in UP.
https://t.co/DGNBuwSVl0

Now coming back to topic, Fule has set d stage for the anti-brahmin movement in Bombay by late 1880, a new identity ‘Low Caste” was created, it found its special mention in Census 1881. A new category DEPREESED CASTE was created, which had no existence in the previous census 1872

The most important thing to note in Census 1881 is that it has a special table of record for the education of Brahmins, population of Brahmins Vs three newly created ‘Depressed Castes’; this special table recorded only for Bombay. No such records were noted for other provinces.

The very same depressed caste was in particular mentioned by Hunter’s Education Commission 1882. Further, newly created ‘‘low caste’’ was supported by the Bishop of Bombay through a Letter dated October 1882, was laid before the Commission.

Hindus were divided into 14 different categories (on the basis of their occupation but with the assumption that everyone is Mixture of Arian Tribe of White Caucasus Race and Ethiopian Black Cushist Race) Superior Castes, Intermediate Castes, Trading Castes, Pastoral Castes.

Castes engaged in preparing cooked Food, Agricultural Castes, Agricultural Castes, Artisan Castes, Weaver Castes, Laboring Castes, Castes occupied in selling Fish and Vegetables, Boating and Fishing Castes, Dancer, Musician, Beggar, and Vagabond Castes, Persons enumerated by

Nationality Castes. Additionally, a section of Hindus were identified as Aboriginal Tribes as well.

According to this census Brahmin are Superior Caste, Babhan were Intermediate Caste and Pandit were Aborginal Tribe,

Pasis are Tribe and Kahtiks are Caste.

In this census, attempts were made to identify who is of what level of lower caste with respect to each other. For example, In Berar, the Waddars rank below Khatiks, Chamar castes are superior to the Mahars.

According to this census, Brahmin, Babhan and Pandit are Superior Caste,
Intermediate Caste and Aboriginal Tribe respectively.

By now the Dravid identity was made, total 25 times this term Dravid came in the India census 1981, in contrast to Indian census of 1871 where not a

single time this term appeared. Likewise Aryan term appeared 14 times in contrast to the 1871 census where aryan term did not even appear once.

The term outcast appears 27 times in census 1881, however nowhere it was not tabulated unlike previous census. Instead, Inferior castes and Depressed castes were added with respect to the census of central Central Provinces and Bombay respectively.

This is how Bombay became battleground for the Anti Brahmin movement.

And it was further extended to south with the help of Justice Party by 1920s and by EVR later on.

If one want to understand the importance of Varna, then read next few tweet

I quote the exact words of Maxmuller, 1868. This was prior to propagation of Arian Invasion Hypothesis

1. Missionaries have been preaching against caste (w.r.t. Varna) as a Chief obstacle to conversion

2. Philanthropists have seen in the constant attacks of missionaries upon caste (Varna) the Chief obstacle to the spreading of Christianity among the Hindus.

3. What chiefly prevent d spread of Christianity is d dread of exclusion frm d caste and it's all privileges n utter hopelessness of their evr finding respectable circle of society of the adopted religion which concerts or would be converts, to d christianity now everywhere feels

4. Caste (w.r.t Varna) has hitherto proved an impediment to the conversion of Hindus, may in future become one of the most powerful engines for the conversion not merely of individual, but the whole classes of the Indian society.

Maxmuller made it very clear in the next few decades they are going to Propagate Caste (w.r.t Varna) in Bad way!

5. Caste can't be abolished in India and to attempt it would be one of the most hazardous operation that was ever performed on a living political body ....

...As a religious institution caste (w.r.t. Varna) will die; as a social institution it will live and improve.

Source: Chips from a German Workshop by Max Müller Essays on mythology, traditions, and customs by Friedrich Max Müller, 1868.

Today we have four category of Hindus SC ST OBC and General. These newly created four categories of Hindu society is inspired from the Maxmuller's large term project which u just noted in previous few thread.

After census 1891, a new powerful Officer H H Risley ws given task to institutionalised caste (mixture of races) according to biblical races and with so called scientific anthropometry.

Before that let me share his view on jaati and how important for them it was to disturb it.

Caste....forms the cement that holds together
the myriad units of Indian society. . . . Were its cohesive power withdrawn or its essential ties relaxed, it is difficult to form any idea of the probable consequences.

Such a change would be more than a revolution; it would resemble the withdrawal of some elemental force like gravitation or molecular attraction.

Source: Risley, The People of India, p. 278

https://t.co/nB9y6UMc6q

Then in year 1901, Risley divided Indians on the basis of Nose Size (Nasal Index) into more than 2000 castes and at present we have more than 6000 castes in India on the same basis. This is why I say Jaati is KUL, Caste is Nose Size.