Twitter Thread by ■■■■■ ■■■■

■■■■■ ■■■■
@kaundabhatta

This fool is justifying what Jaggi said. He has quoted Brahmavaivarta, I will also show what is given there. No.1 Nobody has said love of Gopis had any form of lust, but there is difference of Shringaar Ras and Vaatsalya Raa, there is nowhere Yashoda has shown desire to be lover

> On Raas Leela...
>
> As per Shrimad Bhagwat Puran, Raas Leela is unison of God with his devotee. It has got NOTHING TO DO WITH male-female material enjoyment - as falsely claimed by west historians and now so many of our own people out of ignorant or malice.
> Srila Praphupad says: pic.twitter.com/UOFhTGrWxp
>
> — Prashant Tiwari (@angelprashant) April 4, 2021

lover of Krishna, Gopis loved Krishna as Husband, Lover, wajted to have Romance with him, there is no lust in Romance. But Yashodaji's love was always of a Mother, she saw Krishna as a child, and Jaggi has alleged many things in 1 video which I will list now +.

1. Krishna never returned
2. Yashoda's love "transformed" from mother to "lover" (in romantic sense)
3. Yashoda was jealous of Radha as she started viewing her as Rival in the supposed "Romantic Love" she "developed". All this nonsense will be countered now.

Brahmavaivarta 4.128.10
■■■■■■ ■■■■■ ■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■■■ ■
■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■■■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■■■■■ ■■ ■■■ ■■■■■ ■■ ■■ ■■
This is where Krishna returns to Vraj, and is asking Nanda-Yashoda to leave the "putrabuddhi", the sonmindset and return to Goloka with Him, along with Radha etc

Krishna returns to Gokula, he spends time with Vrajvasis and becomes a child again, seeing that Yashoda is filled with motherhood and feeds the child her milk. "■■■■■■■■■ ■■■■■■ ■■■■" (drank from the breast of Yashoda) BV 4.128.37

This counters first 2 claims that Krishna never returned and Yashoda's love "developed" from mother into a lover. Now the third claim of jealousy is countered when Yashoda willingly keeps a feast and feeds Radha-Krishna together as

husband-wife. Not only Radha but also all Gopis.

This counters all the nonsense Jaggi made up himself. Krishna and Radha were also married by Brahmaaji in Bhandirvan, the temple of marriage still exists. And Devotees of Jaggi will blindly support him even though he has rejected Vedas, Gita and calls Shiva as Shunya.

In his book he has also made very bad claims about Maa Parvati, i will not go into those details but the crux is, He uses Hinduism and Hindu Vedic Dieties, for running his own shop, just give me 1 place where he has openly agreed that Rama Krishna Shiva are God, Satya, Brahm. +

Nowhere. In 1 video he compares Jesus to Rama, calls Rama Human, and explains the meaning of Maryada Purushottama. Purusha in Vedic Terms means the Eternal Being, who sleeps ■(■) in Pura(divine cosmic ocean), and Uttama means Supreme..jaggi says Purushottama means best of humans.

A person who calls our Gods humans, who says all scriptures. will collapse on 2 logical questions, says our culture never had concept of God, and also proudly claims He never learnt Sanskrit and never read any Scripture. And also openly says he's not a Hindu +

Still Hindus consider Him as a Messiah to save Hindu Culture. The most foolish community on Earth. This fool prashant thinks we hae some vested interest as if we're sitting on a Hindufunded huge corpus organisation which is using Hindus to exterminate Hinduism. Ghora Kaliyuga

I have only 2 questions to Jaggi 1) why does he want to 'teach' false info on Hindu Gods, Hindu scriptures, and Hinduism if he has openly declared he has no faith in them? 2) Why does he represent Hinduism like Psuedo-Buddhism, why not openly Propogate Buddhism if he wants? +

The issue is not with having an opinion which does not suit traditional opinion, but about Ethics. It is Unethical to misrepresent and impose your own Ideology unto a Faith which has different Ideology. This is a strategy. He will fool Hindus +

Hindus into believing his own version of Hinduism, and divert/convert Hindus from the Actual Hinduism. He is not asking Hindus to leave the Hindu Identity, but he's changing the Core Principles of Hinduism.There is no Hindu Sect which says Aatma doesn't Exist, None! I repeat None

He has preached Buddhist theory of Shunyavad (shiva as nothingness), Anaatmavaad (no existence of aatma/self), and many other Buddhist theories. But not openly as Budshism, but in the name of Hinduism. He is no different from Abrahamic converters, +

The ignorance of Hindus towards their own Shastras, and also Hindu History, how we defeated the Buddhists, makes it very easy for any to talk positive abt Hinduism, and fool Hindus into believing hisnown version.

Don't say Hinduism has freedom choice. Naah. There is no freedom to negate the Shaastras, there is freedom only to interpret but not with your ow fantasy, interpretation has to be according to Vyakarana, Nyaya Mimamsa and Nirukta and

also establish it through winning debates +

There is freedom of choice to rejext the shaastras but then you're a Naastika not an Aastika. You cannot be a Hindu and also reject the Shaastras. You will be an Ideological Opponent, and will respected in this land, as a Countervedic Ideology. But +

You will not be allowed to speak false about our Shastras. We will counter you in debates, also dominate assertion of Vedic Dharma. You cannot be a Hindu and reject Hindu Shaastras both at the same time. I hope the thread is clear. Shaanti.