Twitter Thread by {awakening bh■rata}




{awakening bh■rata}
@ancient_bharat

"Paid expert" who?

If Yudhi■■hira was a "2000-year-old fictional character" inspired by King Ashoka as Romila Thapar's & Devdutts of this world claim, how did Chanakya(320 BC) the minister of Ashoka's grandfather,mention Yudhi■■hira in his Artha■■stra?

Any ans devdutt Myth? https://t.co/iE5edIrks1



> Paid gurus/experts of Hindutva are on a ROLL.... poor Kashmiri Pandits ... lost their homeland to invaders, now losing their philosophy to pseudoscience https://t.co/sImmn8vQ1u
>
> — Devdutt Pattanaik (@devduttmyth) March 10, 2021

In Chapter 8 of Artha■■stra, Kautilya compares hunting to gambling. Kautilya says gambling is a bigger vice than hunting and recounts "the history of Yudhi■■hira" who lost everything in gambling From Arthashastra 8.1 (English translation by Shamsastry).

No, says Kautilya, in preference to a large amount of wealth, no man desires the loss of his own life. Owing to oppressive punishment, one is liable to the same punishment at the hands of one's enemies.

Such is the nature of the triad of evils due to anger.

The fourfold vices due to desire are hunting, gambling, women and drinking.

Pisuna says that of hunting and gambling, hunting is a worse vice; for falling into the hand of robbers, enemies and elephants, getting into wild fire, fear, inability to distinguish between the cardinal points, hunger, thirst and loss of life are evils consequent upon hunting, whereas in gambling, the expert gambler wins a victory like Jayatsena and Duryodhana.

No, says Kautilya, of the two parties, one has to suffer from defeat, as is well known from the history of Nala and Yudhishthira;


If Yudhi■■hira was just "character of an Epic created 2000 years ago"
how did P■■ini mention Yudhi■■hira in A■■■dhy■y■ (8.3.95) dating back to atleast 2400 years?
How did S■tav■hanas mention him in inscriptions dating back to 2100 years?
Dropped ur brain somewhere?

Buddha praised King Yudhi■■hira as " wise, righteous, greatest alms-giver". Recorded in ancient Buddhist scriptures.
Ashoka was a Buddhist king who tried to rule like great kings praised by Buddha. So, who inspired whom? Is it so hard for this "Eminent historian" to figure out?


Buddha praises Yudhi■■hira as "the righteous king who formerly ruled Indraprastha & set entire Bh■ratavarsha in commotion with alms-giving" (Dh■mak■ri-j■taka, Dasabrahmana Jataka).
Ashoka ruled 200 years after Buddha.
But this genius says Ashoka was born before Yudhi■■hira■

Sanskrit manuscripts dating back to 6th century CE & written on paper were discovered in Gilgit (Jammu & Kashmir) in 1931.
Some of these manuscripts are still housed in Srinagar Museum.
These manuscripts were written on paper even before your Islam was born.

Devdutt Pattanaik's Claim :



Here is a manuscript from the Gilgit collection.
Written with ink on paper recording Saddharmapu■■ar■ka Sutra.
The language is Sanskrit & the script is (Proto) Sharada. Dates back to the 6th century CE +



The documents have been dated to the beginning of the 6thCE
Ancient paper manuscripts were found in remote arid Gilgit but not in a cultural center like Varanasi.
All thanks to the climate!
Testimony of foreign travelers like Yijing(7th CE) confirms the widespread use of paper.



Paid "expert" again!

It was Gandhi himself who described Savarkar as Veer (brave)

This is what Gandhi said:
"I met Savarkar in London

He is brave,clever,patriot,revolutionary.

Saw evil of the British Govt much earlier than I did

He is in Andaman(jail) for having loved India"



Demolishing Devdutt Myth Point by Point in Detail.

https://t.co/thehx7FsNo

OPEN CHALLENGE TO @devduttmyth

@MisraNityanand , a Sanskrit scholar and well-known author has studied Devdutt Pattanaik's book titled "My Gita".

This is an extensive interview where he gives point by point analysis of Myth's work.
He points out numerous blunders in the works.

He concludes that Devdutt Myth:

1) does not know even the BASICS of Sanskrit;

2) is obsessed with looking for sexual meanings, and has praised Wendy Doniger in the past;

3) makes serious errors in translating very important Sanskrit words and gives them a completely distorted meaning;

4) has an artificially inflated brand name that cannot be justified on merit.

Shri Misra @MisraNityanand decided to invest a lot of time to examine the works of Devdutt Pattanaik (Myth) because he is misleading the public who assume that his books are widely promoted based on merit.

Shri @MisraNityanand and Shri @RajivMessage have repeatedly invited Devdutt for debates but he has never accepted.

& I think he never will.

And even in Social Media this myth @devduttmyth keeps comment section off for not taking risk to expose himself■
https://t.co/thehx7FsNo